In potentiometry we measure the potential of an electrochemical cell under static conditions. Because no current—or only a negligible current—flows through the electrochemical cell, its composition remains unchanged. For this reason, potentiometry is a useful quantitative method. The first quantitative potentiometric applications appeared soon after the formulation, in 1889, of the Nernst equation, which relates an electrochemical cell’s potential to the concentration of electroactive species in the cell.\(^1\)

Potentiometry initially was restricted to redox equilibria at metallic electrodes, limiting its application to a few ions. In 1906, Cremer discovered that the potential difference across a thin glass membrane is a function of pH when opposite sides of the membrane are in contact with solutions containing different concentrations of $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$. This discovery led to the development of the glass pH electrode in 1909. Other types of membranes also yield useful potentials. For example, in 1937 Kolthoff and Sanders showed that a pellet of AgCl can be used to determine the concentration of $\text{Ag}^+$. Electrodes based on membrane potentials are called ion-selective electrodes, and their continued development extends potentiometry to a diverse array of analytes.

### 11.2.1 Potentiometric Measurements

As shown in Figure 11.3, we use a potentiometer to determine the difference between the potential of two electrodes. The potential of one electrode—the working or indicator electrode—responds to the analyte’s activity, and the other electrode—the counter or reference electrode—has a known, fixed potential. In this section we introduce the conventions for describing potentiometric electrochemical cells, and the relationship between the measured potential and the analyte’s activity.

**Note**

In Chapter 6 we noted that the equilibrium position of a chemical reaction is a function of the activities of the reactants and products, not their concentrations. To be correct, we should write the Nernst equation, such as equation 11.1, in terms of activities. So why didn’t we use activities in Chapter 9 when we calculated redox titration curves? There are two reasons for that choice. First, concentrations are always easier to calculate than activities. Second, in a redox titration we determine the analyte’s concentration from the titration’s end point, not from the potential at the end point. The only reasons for calculating a titration curve is to evaluate its feasibility and to help in selecting a useful indicator. In most cases, the error we introduce by assuming that concentration and activity are identical is too small to be a significant concern.

In potentiometry we cannot ignore the difference between activity and concentration. Later in this section we will consider how we can design a potentiometric method so that we can ignore the difference between activity and concentration.

See Chapter 6.9 to review our earlier discussion of activity and concentration.

### Potentiometric Electrochemical Cells

A schematic diagram of a typical potentiometric electrochemical cell is shown in Figure 11.7. The electrochemical cell consists of two half-cells, each containing an electrode immersed in a solution of ions whose activities determine the
electrode’s potential. A **salt bridge** containing an inert electrolyte, such as KCl, connects the two half-cells. The ends of the salt bridge are fixed with porous frits, allowing the electrolyte’s ions to move freely between the half-cells and the salt bridge. This movement of ions in the salt bridge completes the electrical circuit.

By convention, we identify the electrode on the left as the anode and assign to it the oxidation reaction; thus

\[
\ce{Zn(s) ⇌ Zn^{2+}(aq) + 2e^-}
\]

The electrode on the right is the **cathode**, where the reduction reaction occurs.

\[
\ce{Ag^+(aq) + e^- ⇌ Ag(s)}
\]

**Note**

The reason for separating the electrodes is to prevent the oxidation and reduction reactions from occurring at one of the electrodes. For example, if we place a strip of Zn metal in a solution of AgNO₃, the reduction of Ag⁺ to Ag occurs on the surface of the Zn at the same time as a portion of the Zn metal oxidizes to Zn²⁺. Because the transfer of electrons from Zn to Ag⁺ occurs at the electrode’s surface, we can not pass them through the potentiometer.

The potential of the electrochemical cell in Figure 11.7 is for the reaction

\[
\ce{Zn(s) + 2Ag^+(aq) ⇌ 2Ag(s) + Zn^{2+}(aq)}
\]

We also define potentiometric electrochemical cells such that the cathode is the indicator electrode and the anode is the reference electrode.

**Figure 11.7** Example of a potentiometric electrochemical cell. The activities of Zn²⁺ and Ag⁺ are shown below the two half-cells.

**Shorthand Notation for Electrochemical Cells**

Although Figure 11.7 provides a useful picture of an electrochemical cell, it is not a convenient representation. (Imagine having to draw a picture of each electrochemical cell you are using!) A more useful way to describe an electrochemical cell...
is a shorthand notation that uses symbols to identify different phases and that lists the composition of each phase. We use a vertical slash (|) to identify a boundary between two phases where a potential develops, and a comma (,) to separate species in the same phase or to identify a boundary between two phases where no potential develops. Shorthand cell notations begin with the anode and continue to the cathode. For example, we describe the electrochemical cell in Figure 11.7 using the following shorthand notation.

\[
\ce{Zn}(s) \,\mid\mid\, \ce{ZnCl2}(aq,a_{\ce{Zn^{2+}}} = 0.0167) \,\mid\mid\, \ce{AgNO3}(aq,a_{\ce{Ag^+}} = 0.100) \,\mid\, \ce{Ag}\]
\]

The double vertical slash (||) indicates the salt bridge, the contents of which we usually do not list. Note that a double vertical slash implies that there is a potential difference between the salt bridge and each half-cell.

Example 11.1

What are the anodic, cathodic, and overall reactions responsible for the potential of the electrochemical cell in Figure 11.8? Write the shorthand notation for the electrochemical cell.

**Solution**

The oxidation of Ag to Ag$^+$ occurs at the anode, which is the left half-cell. Because the solution contains a source of Cl$^-$, the anodic reaction is

\[
\ce{Ag}(s) + \ce{Cl^-}(aq) \rightleftharpoons \ce{AgCl}(s) +e^-\]

The cathodic reaction, which is the right half-cell, is the reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$.

\[
\ce{Fe^3+}(aq) + e^- \rightleftharpoons \ce{Fe^{2+}}(aq)\]

The overall cell reaction, therefore, is

\[
\ce{Ag(s)} + \ce{Fe^3+}(aq) + \ce{Cl^-}(aq) \rightleftharpoons \ce{AgCl}(s) + \ce{Fe^{2+}}(aq)\]

The electrochemical cell’s shorthand notation is

\[
\ce{Ag(s)} \,\mid\mid\, \ce{HCl}(aq,a_{\ce{Cl^-}} = 0.100),\, \ce{AgCl(sat'd)} \,\mid\mid\, \ce{FeCl2}(aq,a_{\ce{Fe^{2+}}} = 0.0100),\, \ce{FeCl3}(aq,a_{\ce{Fe^3+}} = 0.0500) \,\mid\, \ce{Pt}(s)\]
\]

Note that the Pt cathode is an inert electrode that carries electrons to the reduction half-reaction. The electrode itself does not undergo reduction.
Figure 11.8 Potentiometric electrochemical cell for Example 11.1.

Exercise 11.1

Write the reactions occurring at the anode and the cathode for the potentiometric electrochemical cell with the following shorthand notation.

\[
\text{Pt}(s) \,\vert\, \text{H}_2(g),\, \text{H}^+(aq) \,\vert\, \text{Cu}^{2+}(aq) \,\vert\, \text{Cu}(s)
\]

Click here to review your answer to this exercise.

Potential and Activity—The Nernst Equation

The potential of a potentiometric electrochemical cell is

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = E_c - E_a
\tag{11.3}
\]

where \(E_c\) and \(E_a\) are reduction potentials for the redox reactions at the cathode and the anode. The reduction potentials are given by the Nernst equation

\[
E = E^\circ - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q
\tag{11.4}
\]

where \(E^\circ\) is the standard-state reduction potential, \(R\) is the gas constant, \(T\) is the temperature in Kelvins, \(n\) is the number of electrons in the redox reaction, \(F\) is Faraday’s constant, and \(Q\) is the reaction quotient. At a temperature of 298 K (25°C) the Nernst equation is

\[
E = E^\circ - \frac{0.05916}{n} \log Q
\tag{11.4'}
\]

where \(E\) is given in volts.

Note
See Section 6.4.4 for a review of the Nernst equation.

Using equation 11.4, the potential of the anode and cathode in Figure 11.7 are

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\text{a}} &= E^\circ_{\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}} - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log\frac{1}{a_{\text{Zn}^{2+}}} \\
E_{\text{c}} &= E^\circ_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag}} - \frac{0.05916}{1}\log\frac{1}{a_{\text{Ag}^+}}
\end{align*}
\]

Note

Even though an oxidation reaction is taking place at the anode, we define the anode’s potential in terms of the corresponding reduction reaction and the standard-state reduction potential. See Section 6.4.4 for a review of using the Nernst equation in calculations.

Substituting \(E_{\text{c}}\) and \(E_{\text{a}}\) into equation 11.3, along with the activities of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) and \(\text{Ag}^+\) and the standard-state reduction potentials gives an \(E_{\text{cell}}\) of

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\text{cell}} &= \left(E^\circ_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag}} - \frac{0.05916}{1}\log\frac{1}{a_{\text{Ag}^+}}\right) - \left(E^\circ_{\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}} - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log\frac{1}{a_{\text{Zn}^{2+}}}\right) \\
&= \left(0.7996\, \text{V} - 0.05916\log\frac{1}{0.100}\right) - \left(-0.7618 - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log\frac{1}{0.0167}\right) \\
&= +1.555\, \text{V}
\end{align*}
\]

Note

You will find values for the standard-state reduction potential in Appendix 13.

Example 11.2

What is the potential of the electrochemical cell shown in Example 11.1?

**SOLUTION**

Substituting \(E_{\text{c}}\) and \(E_{\text{a}}\) into equation 11.3, along with the concentrations of \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\), \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\), and \(\text{Cl}^-\) and the standard-state reduction potentials gives

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\text{cell}} &= \left(E^\circ_{\text{Fe}^3+/\text{Fe}^2+} - \frac{0.05916}{1}\log\frac{a_{\text{Fe}^2+}}{a_{\text{Fe}^3+}}\right) - \left(E^\circ_{\text{AgCl}/\text{Ag}} - \frac{0.05916}{1}\log a_{\text{Cl}^-}\right) \\
&= \left(0.771\, \text{V} - 0.05916\log\frac{0.0100}{0.0500}\right) - \left(0.2223 - 0.05916\log(0.100)\right) \\
&= +0.531\, \text{V}
\end{align*}
\]
Exercise 11.2

What is the potential for the electrochemical cell in Practice Exercise 11.1 if the activity of \( \text{H}^+ \) in the anodic half-cell is 0.100, the fugacity of \( \text{H}_2 \) in the anodic half-cell is 0.500, and the activity of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) in the cathodic half-cell is 0.0500? Fugacity is the equivalent term for the activity of a gas.

Click here to review your answer to this exercise.

In potentiometry, we assign the reference electrode to the anodic half-cell and assign the indicator electrode to the cathodic half-cell. Thus, if the potential of the cell in Figure 11.7 is +1.50 V and the activity of \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) is 0.0167, then we can solve the following equation for \( a_{\text{Ag}^+} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
+1.50 \text{ V} &= \left( +0.7996 \text{ V} - 0.05916 \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{Ag}^+}} \right) - \\
&= \left( +0.7996 \text{ V} - 0.05916 \log \frac{1}{0.0167} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

obtaining an activity of 0.0118.

Example 11.3

What is the activity of \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) in an electrochemical cell similar to that in Example 11.1 if the activity of \( \text{Cl}^- \) in the left-hand cell is 1.0, the activity of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) in the right-hand cell is 0.015, and \( E_{\text{cell}} \) is +0.546 V?

**Solution**

Making appropriate substitutions into equation 11.3

\[
\begin{align*}
+0.546 \text{ V} &= \left( +0.771 \text{ V} - 0.05916 \log \frac{0.0151}{a_{\text{Fe}^{3+}}} \right) - \\
&= \left( +0.771 \text{ V} - 0.05916 \log \frac{0.0151}{a_{\text{Fe}^{3+}}} \right) - \\
&= \left( +0.771 \text{ V} - 0.05916 \log 1.0 \right)
\end{align*}
\]

and solving for \( a_{\text{Fe}^{3+}} \) gives its activity as 0.0136.

Exercise 11.3

What is the activity of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) in the electrochemical cell in Practice Exercise 11.1 if the activity of \( \text{H}^+ \) in the anodic half-cell is 1.00 with a fugacity of 1.00 for \( \text{H}_2 \), and an \( E_{\text{cell}} \) of +0.257 V?

Click here to review your answer to this exercise.

Despite the apparent ease of determining an analyte’s activity using the Nernst equation, there are several problems with this approach. One problem is that standard-state potentials are temperature-dependent, and the values in reference tables usually are for a temperature of 25°C. We can overcome this problem by maintaining the electrochemical cell at
25°C or by measuring the standard-state potential at the desired temperature.

Note

The standard-state reduction potentials in Appendix 13, for example, are for 25°C.

Another problem is that standard-state reduction potentials may show significant matrix effects. For example, the standard-state reduction potential for the Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox couple is +0.735 V in 1 M HClO₄, +0.70 V in 1 M HCl, and +0.53 V in 10 M HCl. The difference in potential for equimolar solutions of HCl and HClO₄ is the result of a difference in the activity coefficients for Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ in these two media. The shift toward a more negative potential with an increase in the concentration of HCl is the result of chloride’s ability to form a stronger complex with Fe³⁺ than with Fe²⁺. We can minimize this problem by replacing the standard-state potential with a matrix-dependent formal potential. Most tables of standard-state potentials, including those in Appendix 13, include selected formal potentials.

A more serious problem is the presence of additional potentials in the electrochemical cell not included in equation 11.3. In writing the shorthand notation for an electrochemical cell we use a double slash (||) to indicate the salt bridge, suggesting a potential exists at the interface between each end of the salt bridge and the solution in which it is immersed. The origin of this potential is discussed in the following section.

### Junction Potentials

A **junction potential** develops at the interface between two ionic solution if there difference in the concentration and mobility of the ions. Consider, for example, a porous membrane separating solutions of 0.1 M HCl and 0.01 M HCl (Figure 11.9a). Because the concentration of HCl on the membrane’s left side is greater than that on the right side of the membrane, H⁺ and Cl⁻ diffuse in the direction of the arrows. The mobility of H⁺, however, is greater than that for Cl⁻, as shown by the difference in the lengths of their respective arrows. Because of this difference in mobility, the solution on the right side of the membrane has an excess of H⁺ and a positive charge (Figure 11.9b). Simultaneously, the solution on the membrane’s left side develops a negative charge because there is an excess concentration of Cl⁻. We call this difference in potential across the membrane a junction potential, which we represent as \( E_j \).

![Figure 11.9](image)

*Figure 11.9* Origin of the junction potential between a solution of 0.1 M HCl and a solution of 0.01 M HCl.
The magnitude of the junction potential depends upon the concentration of ions on the two sides of the interface, and may be as large as 30–40 mV. For example, a junction potential of 33.09 mV has been measured at the interface between solutions of 0.1 M HCl and 0.1 M NaCl. The magnitude of a salt bridge’s junction potential is minimized by using a salt, such as KCl, for which the mobilities of the cation and anion are approximately equal. We can also minimize the magnitude of the junction potential by incorporating a high concentration of the salt in the salt bridge. For this reason salt bridges are frequently constructed using solutions that are saturated with KCl. Nevertheless, a small junction potential, generally of unknown magnitude, is always present.

When we measure the potential of an electrochemical cell the junction potential also contributes to \( E_{\text{cell}} \); thus, we rewrite equation 11.3

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{c}} - E_{\text{a}} + E_{\text{j}}
\]

to include its contribution. If we do not know the junction potential’s actual value—which is the usual situation—then we cannot directly calculate the analyte’s concentration using the Nernst equation. Quantitative analytical work is possible, however, if we use one of the standardization methods discussed in Chapter 5.3.

Note

These standardization methods are external standards, the method of standard additions, and internal standards. We will return to this point later in this section.

11.2.2 Reference Electrodes

In a potentiometric electrochemical cell one half-cell provides a known reference potential and the potential of the other half-cell indicates the analyte’s concentration. By convention, the reference electrode is the anode; thus, the short hand notation for a potentiometric electrochemical cell is

\[
\text{reference || indicator}
\]

and the cell potential is

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{ind}} - E_{\text{ref}} + E_{\text{j}}
\]

The ideal reference electrode provides a stable, known potential so that any change in \( E_{\text{cell}} \) is attributed to analyte’s effect on the potential of the indicator electrode. In addition, the ideal reference electrode should be easy to make and to use. Three common reference electrodes are discussed in this section.

Standard Hydrogen Electrode

Although we rarely use the **standard hydrogen electrode** (SHE) for routine analytical work, it is the reference electrode used to establish standard-state potentials for other half-reactions. The SHE consists of a Pt electrode immersed in a solution in which the activity of hydrogen ion is 1.00 and in which the fugacity of H₂(g) is 1.00 (Figure 11.10). A conventional salt bridge connects the SHE to the indicator half-cell. The short hand notation for the standard hydrogen
electrode is

\[ \text{Pt}(s), \text{H}_2(g, f_{\text{H}_2} = 1.00) \mid \text{H}^+(aq, a_{\text{H}^+} = 1.00) \mid \mid \]

and the standard-state potential for the reaction

\[ \text{H}^+(aq) + e^- \rightleftharpoons \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2(g) \]

is, by definition, 0.00 V at all temperatures. Despite its importance as the fundamental reference electrode against which we measure all other potentials, the SHE is rarely used because it is difficult to prepare and inconvenient to use.

Figure 11.10 Schematic diagram showing the standard hydrogen electrode.

---

**Calomel Electrodes**

**Note**

Calomel is the common name for the compound Hg\(_2\)Cl\(_2\).

Calomel reference electrodes are based on the following redox couple between Hg\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and Hg

\[ \text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_2(s) + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Hg}(l) + 2\text{Cl}^-(aq) \]

for which the Nernst equation is

\[ E = E^\circ_{\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_2 / \text{Hg}} - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log(a_{\text{Cl}^-})^2 = +0.268 \pm \text{V} - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log(a_{\text{Cl}^-})^2 \]

The potential of a calomel electrode, therefore, is determined by the activity of Cl\(^-\) in equilibrium with Hg and Hg\(_2\)Cl\(_2\).

As shown in Figure 11.11, in a **saturated calomel electrode** (SCE) the concentration of Cl\(^-\) is determined by the solubility of KCl. The electrode consists of an inner tube packed with a paste of Hg, Hg\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), and KCl, situated within a second tube containing a saturated solution of KCl. A small hole connects the two tubes and a porous wick serves as a salt bridge to the solution in which the SCE is immersed. A stopper in the outer tube provides an opening for adding addition saturated KCl. The short hand notation for this cell is
Because the concentration of \( \text{Cl}^- \) is fixed by the solubility of KCl, the potential of an SCE remains constant even if we lose some of the solution to evaporation. A significant disadvantage of the SCE is that the solubility of KCl is sensitive to a change in temperature. At higher temperatures the solubility of KCl increases and the electrode’s potential decreases. For example, the potential of the SCE is +0.2444 V at 25\(^\circ\)C and +0.2376 V at 35\(^\circ\)C. The potential of a calomel electrode containing an unsaturated solution of KCl is less temperature dependent, but its potential changes if the concentration, and thus the activity of \( \text{Cl}^- \), increases due to evaporation.

Note

The potential of a calomel electrode is +0.280 V when the concentration of KCl is 1.00 M and +0.336 V when the concentration of KCl is 0.100 M. If the activity of \( \text{Cl}^- \) is 1.00, the potential is +0.2682 V.

**Silver/Silver Chloride Electrodes**

Another common reference electrode is the **silver/silver chloride electrode**, which is based on the following redox couple between AgCl and Ag.

\[
\ce{AgCl(s) + e^- \rightleftharpoons Ag(s) + Cl^-(aq)}
\]

As is the case for the calomel electrode, the activity of \( \text{Cl}^- \) determines the potential of the Ag/AgCl electrode; thus

\[
[E = E^\circ_{\text{AgCl/Ag}} - 0.05916\log a_{\text{Cl}^-}] = +0.2223\text{ V} - 0.05916\log a_{\text{Cl}^-}]
\]
When prepared using a saturated solution of KCl, the potential of a Ag/AgCl electrode is +0.197 V at 25°C. Another common Ag/AgCl electrode uses a solution of 3.5 M KCl and has a potential of +0.205 V at 25°C.

Note

As you might expect, the potential of a Ag/AgCl electrode using a saturated solution of KCl is more sensitive to a change in temperature than an electrode using an unsaturated solution of KCl.

A typical Ag/AgCl electrode is shown in Figure 11.12 and consists of a silver wire, the end of which is coated with a thin film of AgCl, immersed in a solution containing the desired concentration of KCl. A porous plug serves as the salt bridge. The electrode’s short hand notation is

\[
\ce{Ag(s)} | \ce{AgCl(s)}, \ce{KCl(aq, a_{Cl^-} = x)} ||
\]

**Figure 11.12** Schematic diagram showing a Ag/AgCl electrode. Because the electrode does not contain solid KCl, this is an example of an unsaturated Ag/AgCl electrode.

**Converting Potentials Between Reference Electrodes**

The standard state reduction potentials in most tables are reported relative to the standard hydrogen electrode’s potential of +0.00 V. Because we rarely use the SHE as a reference electrode, we need to be able to convert an indicator electrode’s potential to its equivalent value when using a different reference electrode. As shown in the following example, this is easy to do.

**Example 11.4**

The potential for an \( \text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+} \) half-cell is +0.750 V relative to the standard hydrogen electrode. What is its potential when
using a saturated calomel electrode or a saturated silver/silver chloride electrode?

**Solution**

When using a standard hydrogen electrode the potential of the electrochemical cell is

\[E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}} - E_{\text{SHE}} = 0.750 \text{ V} - 0.000 \text{ V} = +0.750 \text{ V}\]

We can use the same equation to calculate the potential when using a saturated calomel electrode

\[E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}} - E_{\text{SCE}} = 0.750 \text{ V} - 0.2444 \text{ V} = +0.506 \text{ V}\]

or a saturated silver/silver chloride electrode

\[E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}} - E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} = 0.750 \text{ V} - 0.197 \text{ V} = +0.553 \text{ V}\]

Figure 11.13 provides a pictorial representation of the relationship between these different potentials.

**Figure 11.13** Relationship between the potential of an \(\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}\) half-cell relative to the reference electrodes in Example 11.4. The potential relative to a standard hydrogen electrode is shown in blue, the potential relative to a saturated silver/silver chloride electrode is shown in red, and the potential relative to a saturated calomel electrode is shown in green.

Practice Exercise 11.4

The potential of a \(\text{UO}_2^{2+}/\text{U}^{4+}\) half-cell is \(-0.0190 \text{ V}\) relative to a saturated calomel electrode. What is its potential when using a saturated silver/silver chloride electrode or a standard hydrogen electrode?

Click [here](#) to review your answer to this exercise.

### 11.2.3 Metallic Indicator Electrodes

In potentiometry the potential of the indicator electrode is proportional to the analyte’s activity. Two classes of indicator electrodes are used in potentiometry: metallic electrodes, which are the subject of this section, and ion-selective electrodes, which are covered in the next section.
Electrodes of the First Kind

If we place a copper electrode in a solution containing Cu\(^{2+}\), the electrode’s potential due to the reaction

\[
\ce{Cu^{2+}(aq) + 2e^- \rightarrow Cu(aq)}
\]

is determined by the activity of Cu\(^{2+}\).

\[
E = E^\circ_{\text{Cu}^{2+/Cu}} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{Cu}^{2+}}} = +0.3419 \text{ V} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{Cu}^{2+}}}
\]

If copper is the indicator electrode in a potentiometric electrochemical cell that also includes a saturated calomel reference electrode

\[
\ce{SCE} \,||\, \ce{Cu^{2+}(aq, a_{Cu^{2+}} = x)} \,|\, \ce{Cu(s)}
\]

then we can use the cell potential to determine an unknown activity of Cu\(^{2+}\) in the indicator electrode’s half-cell

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{ind}} - E_{\text{SCE}} + E_{j} = +0.3419 \text{ V} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{Cu}^{2+}}} - 0.2444 \text{ V} + E_{j}
\]

An indicator electrode in which a metal is in contact with a solution containing its ion is called an electrode of the first kind. In general, if a metal, M, is in a solution of M\(^{n+}\), the cell potential is

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = K - \frac{0.05916}{n} \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{M}^{n+}}} = K + \frac{0.05916}{n} \log a_{\text{M}^{n+}}
\]

where \(K\) is a constant that includes the standard-state potential for the \(M^{n+}/M\) redox couple, the potential of the reference electrode, and the junction potential. For a variety of reasons—including the slow kinetics of electron transfer at the metal–solution interface, the formation of metal oxides on the electrode’s surface, and interfering reactions—electrodes of the first kind are limited to the following metals: Ag, Bi, Cd, Cu, Hg, Pb, Sn, Ti, and Zn.

Note

Many of these electrodes, such as Zn, cannot be used in acidic solutions because they are easily oxidized by H\(^+\).

\[
\ce{Zn(s) + 2H^+(aq) \rightarrow H_2(g) + Zn^{2+}(aq)}
\]

Electrodes of the Second Kind

The potential of an electrode of the first kind responds to the activity of M\(^{n+}\). We also can use this electrode to determine the activity of another species if it is in equilibrium with M\(^{n+}\). For example, the potential of a Ag electrode in a solution of Ag\(^+\) is

\[
E = E^\circ_{\text{Ag}^{+/Ag}} - 0.05916 \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{Ag}^{+}}} = +0.7996 \text{ V} - 0.05916 \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{Ag}^{+}}}
\]
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If we saturate the indicator electrode's half-cell with AgI, the solubility reaction

\[
\ce{AgI}(s) \rightleftharpoons \ce{Ag+}(aq) + \ce{I^-}(aq)
\]
determines the concentration of Ag$^+$; thus

\[
a_{\text{Ag}^+} = \frac{K_{\text{sp,AgI}}}{a_{\text{I}^-}} \tag{11.6}
\]

where $K_{\text{sp,AgI}}$ is the solubility product for AgI. Substituting equation 11.6 into equation 11.5

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = +0.7996 \: \text{V} - 0.05916 \log \frac{a_{\text{I}^-}}{K_{\text{sp,AgI}}}
\]

we find that the potential of the silver electrode is a function of the activity of I$^-$. If we incorporate this electrode into a potentiometric electrochemical cell with a saturated calomel electrode

\[
\ce{SCE} \,||\, \ce{AgI}(s),\, \ce{I-}(aq,a_{\text{I}^-}= x) \,|\, \ce{Ag}(s)
\]

the cell potential is

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = K - 0.05916 \log a_{\text{I}^-}
\]

where $K$ is a constant that includes the standard-state potential for the Ag$^+$/Ag redox couple, the solubility product for AgI, the reference electrode's potential, and the junction potential.

If an electrode of the first kind responds to the activity of an ion that is in equilibrium with $M^{n+}$, we call it an electrode of the second kind. Two common electrodes of the second kind are the calomel and the silver/silver chloride reference electrodes.

Note

In an electrode of the second kind we link together a redox reaction and another reaction, such as a solubility reaction. You might wonder if we can link together more than two reactions. The short answer is yes. An electrode of the third kind, for example, links together a redox reaction and two other reactions. We will not consider such electrodes in this text.

Redox Electrodes

An electrode of the first kind or second kind develops a potential as the result of a redox reaction involving a metallic electrode. An electrode also can serve as a source of electrons or as a sink for electrons in an unrelated redox reaction, in which case we call it a redox electrode. The Pt cathode in Figure 11.8 and Example 11.1 is a redox electrode because its potential is determined by the activity of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in the indicator half-cell. Note that a redox electrode’s potential often responds to the activity of more than one ion, which can limit its usefulness for direct potentiometry.

11.2.4 Membrane Electrodes

If metals are the only useful materials for constructing indicator electrodes, then there would be few useful applications
of potentiometry. In 1901 Fritz Haber discovered that there is a change in potential across a glass membrane when its two sides are in solutions of different acidity. The existence of this membrane potential led to the development of a whole new class of indicator electrodes called ion-selective electrodes (ISEs). In addition to the glass pH electrode, ion-selective electrodes are available for a wide range of ions. It also is possible to construct a membrane electrode for a neutral analyte by using a chemical reaction to generate an ion that can be monitored with an ion-selective electrode. The development of new membrane electrodes continues to be an active area of research.

Membrane Potentials

Figure 11.14 shows a typical potentiometric electrochemical cell equipped with an ion-selective electrode. The short hand notation for this cell is

$$E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{ref(int)}} - E_{\text{ref(samp)}} + E_{\text{mem}} + E_{\text{j}}$$

where the ion-selective membrane is shown by the vertical slash separating the two solutions containing analyte—the sample solution and the ion-selective electrode’s internal solution. The electrochemical cell includes two reference electrodes: one immersed in the ion-selective electrode’s internal solution and one in the sample. The cell potential, therefore, is

$$E_{\text{mem}} = E_{\text{asym}} - \frac{RT}{zF} \ln \frac{(a_A)_{\text{int}}}{(a_A)_{\text{samp}}}$$

Note

For now we simply note that a difference in the analyte’s activity results in a membrane potential. As we consider different types of ion-selective electrodes, we will explore more specifically the source of the membrane potential.

The analyte’s interaction with the membrane generates a membrane potential if there is a difference in its activity on the membrane’s two sides.

Current is carried through the membrane by the movement of either the analyte or an ion already present in the membrane’s matrix. The membrane potential is given by the following Nernst-like equation

$$E_{\text{mem}} = E_{\text{asym}} - \frac{RT}{zF} \ln \frac{(a_A)_{\text{int}}}{(a_A)_{\text{samp}}}$$

where $E_{\text{asym}}$ is similar to $E^0$ in equation 11.1.
Substituting equation 11.8 into equation 11.7, assuming a temperature of 25°C, and rearranging gives

\[ E_{\text{cell}} = K + \frac{0.05916}{z} \log(a_{\text{A}})_{\text{samp}} \tag{11.9} \]

where \( K \) is a constant that includes the potentials of the two reference electrodes, the junction potentials, the asymmetry potential, and the analyte’s activity in the internal solution. Equation 11.9 is a general equation and applies to all types of ion-selective electrodes.

**Figure 11.14** Schematic diagram showing a typical potentiometric cell with an ion-selective electrode. The ion-selective electrode’s membrane separates the sample, which contains the analyte at an activity of \( (a_{\text{A}})_{\text{samp}} \), from an internal solution containing the analyte with an activity of \( (a_{\text{A}})_{\text{int}} \).

### Selectivity of Membranes

A membrane potential results from a chemical interaction between the analyte and active sites on the membrane’s surface. Because the signal depends on a chemical process, most membranes are not selective toward a single analyte. Instead, the membrane potential is proportional to the concentration of each ion that interacts with the membrane’s active sites. We can rewrite equation 11.9 to include the contribution of an interferent, \( I \), to the potential

\[ E_{\text{cell}} = K + \frac{0.05916}{z_{\text{A}}} \log \left( a_{\text{A}} + K_{\text{A,I}} (a_{I})^{z_{\text{A}}/z_{I}} \right) \tag{11.10} \]

where \( z_{\text{A}} \) and \( z_{I} \) are the charges of the analyte and the interferent, and \( K_{\text{A,I}} \) is a selectivity coefficient accounting for the relative response of the interferent. The selectivity coefficient is defined as

\[ K_{\text{A,I}} = \frac{(a_{\text{A}})_{e}}{(a_{I})_{e}^{z_{\text{A}}/z_{I}}} \tag{11.10} \]

where \( (a_{\text{A}})_{e} \) and \( (a_{I})_{e} \) are the activities of analyte and interferent yielding identical cell potentials. When the selectivity
coefficient is 1.00 the membrane responds equally to the analyte and the interferent. A membrane shows good selectivity for the analyte when $K_{A,I}$ is significantly less than 1.00.

Note

See Chapter 3.4.4 for an additional discussion of selectivity.

Selectivity coefficients for most commercially available ion-selective electrodes are provided by the manufacturer. If the selectivity coefficient is not known, it is easy to determine its value experimentally by preparing a series of solutions, each containing the same activity of interferent, $(a)_\text{add}$, but a different activity of analyte. As shown in Figure 11.15, a plot of cell potential versus the log of the analyte's activity has two distinct linear regions. When the analyte's activity is significantly larger than $K_{A,I} \times (a)_\text{add}$, the potential is a linear function of log($a_A$), as given by equation 11.9. If $K_{A,I} \times (a)_\text{add}$ is significantly larger than the analyte's activity, however, the cell potential remains constant. The activity of analyte and interferent at the intersection of these two linear regions is used to calculate $K_{A,I}$.

Example 11.5

Sokalski and co-workers described a method for preparing ion-selective electrodes with significantly improved selectivities. For example, a conventional Pb$^{2+}$ ISE has a log $K_{\text{Pb}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}}$ of –3.6. If the potential for a solution in which the activity of Pb$^{2+}$ is $4.1 \times 10^{-12}$ is identical to that for a solution in which the activity of Mg$^{2+}$ is 0.01025, what is the value of log $K_{\text{Pb}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}}$?

Solution

Making appropriate substitutions into equation 11.10, we find that

$$K_{\text{Pb}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}} = \frac{(a_A)_e}{(a_I)_e^{z_A/z_I}} = \frac{(a_A)_\text{inter}}{(a)_\text{add}^{z_A/z_I}}$$

$$= \frac{4.1 \times 10^{-12}}{(0.01025)^{2+/2+}} = 4.0 \times 10^{-10}$$
The value of $\log K_{\text{Pb}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}}$, therefore, is –9.40.

Exercise 11.5

A ion-selective electrode for $\text{NO}_2^-$ has $\log K_{\text{AI}}$ values of –3.1 for $\text{F}^-$, –4.1 for $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, –1.2 for $\text{I}^-$, and –3.3 for $\text{NO}_3^-$. Which ion is the most serious interferent and for what activity of this interferent is the potential equivalent to a solution in which the activity of $\text{NO}_2^-$ is $2.75 \times 10^{-4}$?

Click here to review your answer to this exercise

Glass Ion-Selective Electrodes

The first commercial glass electrodes were manufactured using Corning 015, a glass with a composition that is approximately 22% Na$_2$O, 6% CaO and 72% SiO$_2$. When immersed in an aqueous solution for several hours, the outer approximately 10 nm of the membrane’s surface becomes hydrated, resulting in the formation of negatively charged sites, $–\text{SiO}^-$. Sodium ions, Na$^+$, serve as counter ions. Because H$^+$ binds more strongly to $–\text{SiO}^-$ than does Na$^+$, they displace the sodium ions

$$\text{H}^+ + \text{SiO}^- + \text{Na}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{SiO}^- + \text{Na}^+$$

giving rise to the membrane’s selectivity for H$^+$. The transport of charge across the membrane is carried by the Na$^+$ ions. The potential of a glass electrode using Corning 015 obeys the equation

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K + 0.05916 \log a_{\text{H}^+} \tag{11.11}$$

over a pH range of approximately 0.5 to 9. At more basic pH levels the glass membrane is more responsive to other cations, such as Na$^+$ and K$^+$.

Example 11.6

For a Corning 015 glass membrane the selectivity coefficient $K_{\text{H}^+/\text{Na}^+}$ is $\approx 10^{-11}$. What is the expected error when measuring the pH of a solution in which the activity of H$^+$ is $2 \times 10^{-13}$ and the activity of Na$^+$ is 0.05?

Solution

A solution in which the actual activity of H$^+$, $(a_{\text{H}^+})_{\text{act}}$, is $2 \times 10^{-13}$ has a pH of 12.7. (pH = $-\log(a_{\text{H}^+})$) Because the electrode responds to both H$^+$ and Na$^+$, the apparent activity of H$^+$, $(a_{\text{H}^+})_{\text{app}}$, is

$$\begin{align*}
(a_{\text{H}^+})_{\text{app}} &= (a_{\text{H}^+})_{\text{act}} + (K_{\text{H}^+/\text{Na}^+} \times a_{\text{Na}^+}) \\
&= 2 \times 10^{-13} + (10^{-11} \times 0.05) \\
&= 7 \times 10^{-13} \text{ M}
\end{align*}$$
The apparent activity of $H^+$ is equivalent to a pH of 12.2, an error of –0.5 pH units.

Replacing Na$_2$O and CaO with Li$_2$O and BaO extends the useful pH range of glass membrane electrodes to pH levels greater than 12.

Glass membrane pH electrodes are often available in a combination form that includes both the indicator electrode and the reference electrode. The use of a single electrode greatly simplifies the measurement of pH. An example of a typical combination electrode is shown in Figure 11.16.

**Figure 11.16** Schematic diagram showing a combination glass electrode for measuring pH. The indicator electrode consists of a pH-sensitive glass membrane and an internal Ag/AgCl reference electrode in a solution of 0.1 M HCl. The sample’s reference electrode is a Ag/AgCl electrode in a solution of KCl (which may be saturated with KCl or contain a fixed concentration of KCl). A porous wick serves as a salt bridge between the sample and its reference electrode.

The observation that the Corning 015 glass membrane responds to ions other than $H^+$ (see Example 11.6) led to the development of glass membranes with a greater selectivity for other cations. For example, a glass membrane with a composition of 11% Na$_2$O, 18% Al$_2$O$_3$, and 71% SiO$_2$ is used as an ion-selective electrode for Na$^+$. Other glass ion-selective electrodes have been developed for the analysis of Li$^+$, K$^+$, Rb$^+$, Cs$^+$, NH$_4^+$, Ag$^+$, and Tl$^+$. Table 11.1 provides several examples.

Because the typical thickness of an ion-selective electrode’s glass membrane is about 50 μm, they must be handled carefully to avoid cracks or breakage. Glass electrodes usually are stored in a solution of a suitable storage buffer recommended by the manufacturer, which ensures that the membrane’s outer surface is fully hydrated. If your glass
electrode does dry out, you must recondition it by soaking for several hours in a solution containing the analyte. The composition of a glass membrane changes over time, affecting the electrode’s performance. The average lifetime for a typical glass electrode is several years.

Table 11.1 Representative Examples of Glass Membrane Ion-Selective Electrodes for Analytes Other than H

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>analyte</th>
<th>membrane composition</th>
<th>selectivity coefficients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11% Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O, 18% Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;, 71% SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>( K_{\text{Na}^+/H^+} = 1000 ) ( K_{\text{Na}^+/K^+} = 0.001 ) ( K_{\text{Na}^+/Li^+} = 0.001 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15% Li&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O, 25% Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;, 60% SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>( K_{\text{Li}^+/Na^+} = 0.3 ) ( K_{\text{Li}^+/K^+} = 0.001 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27% Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O, 5% Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;, 68% SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>( K_{\text{K}^+/Na^+} = 0.05 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Selectivity coefficients are approximate; values found experimentally may vary substantially from the listed values. See Cammann, K. *Working With Ion-Selective Electrodes*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1977.

**Solid-State Ion-Selective Electrodes**

A solid-state ion-selective electrode uses a membrane consisting of either a polycrystalline inorganic salt or a single crystal of an inorganic salt. We can fashion a polycrystalline solid-state ion-selective electrode by sealing a 1–2 mm thick pellet of Ag<sub>2</sub>S—or a mixture of Ag<sub>2</sub>S and a second silver salt or another metal sulfide—into the end of a nonconducting plastic cylinder, filling the cylinder with an internal solution containing the analyte, and placing a reference electrode into the internal solution. Figure 11.17 shows a typical design.

**Note**

The NaCl in a salt shaker is an example of polycrystalline material because it consists of many small crystals of sodium chloride. The NaCl salt plates shown in Figure 10.32a, on the other hand, are an example of a single crystal of sodium chloride.
Figure 11.17 Schematic diagram of a solid-state electrode. The internal solution contains a solution of analyte of fixed activity.

The membrane potential for a Ag$_2$S pellet develops as the result of a difference in the extent of the solubility reaction

$$\text{Ag}_2\text{S}(s) \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Ag}^+(aq) + \text{S}^{2-}(aq)$$

on the membrane’s two sides, with charge carried across the membrane by Ag$^+$ ions. When we use the electrode to monitor the activity of Ag$^+$, the cell potential is

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K + 0.05916\log a_{\text{Ag}^+}$$

The membrane also responds to the activity of S$^{2-}$, with a cell potential of

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log a_{\text{S}^{2-}}$$

If we combine an insoluble silver salt, such as AgCl, with the Ag$_2$S, then the membrane potential also responds to the concentration of Cl$^-$, with a cell potential of

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K - 0.05916\log a_{\text{Cl}^-}$$

By mixing Ag$_2$S with CdS, CuS, or PbS, we can make an ion-selective electrode that responds to the activity of Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, or Pb$^{2+}$. In this case the cell potential is

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K + \frac{0.05916}{2}\log a_{M^{2+}}$$

where $a_{M^{2+}}$ is the activity of the metal ion.

Table 11.2 provides examples of polycrystalline, Ag$_2$S-based solid-state ion-selective electrodes. The selectivity of these
ion-selective electrodes is determined by the relative solubility of the compounds. A Cl\(^-\) ISE using a Ag\(_2\)S/AgCl membrane is more selective for Br\(^-\) \((K_{\text{Cl}^-/\text{Br}^-} = 10^2)\) and for I\(^-\) \((K_{\text{Cl}^-/\text{I}^-} = 10^6)\) because AgBr and AgI are less soluble than AgCl. If the activity of Br\(^-\) is sufficiently high, AgCl at the membrane/solution interface is replaced by AgBr and the electrode’s response to Cl\(^-\) decreases substantially. Most of the polycrystalline ion-selective electrodes listed in Table 11.2 can be used over an extended range of pH levels. The equilibrium between S\(^{2-}\) and HS\(^-\) limits the analysis for S\(^{2-}\) to a pH range of 13–14.

Table 11.2 Representative Examples of Polycrystalline Solid-State Ion-Selective Electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>analyte</th>
<th>membrane composition</th>
<th>selectivity coefficients (^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag(^+)</td>
<td>Ag(_2)S</td>
<td>(K_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Cu}^{2+}} = 10^{-6})  (K_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Pb}^{2+}} = 10^{-10})  Hg(^{2+}) interferes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd(^{2+})</td>
<td>CdS/Ag(_2)S</td>
<td>(K_{\text{Cd}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}} = 200)  (K_{\text{Cd}^{2+}/\text{Pb}^{2+}} = 6)  Ag(^+), Hg(^{2+}), and Cu(^{2+}) must be absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(^{2+})</td>
<td>CuS/Ag(_2)S</td>
<td>(K_{\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}} = 10)  (K_{\text{Cd}^{2+}/\text{Cu}^{+}} = 1)  Ag(^+) and Hg(^{2+}) must be absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(^{2+})</td>
<td>PbS/Ag(_2)S</td>
<td>(K_{\text{Pb}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}} = 1)  (K_{\text{Pb}^{2+}/\text{Cd}^{2+}} = 1)  Ag(^+), Hg(^{2+}), and Cu(^{2+}) must be absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br(^-)</td>
<td>AgBr/Ag(_2)S</td>
<td>(K_{\text{Br}^-/\text{I}^-} = 5000)  (K_{\text{Br}^-/\text{Cl}^-} = 0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>analyte</td>
<td>membrane composition</td>
<td>selectivity coefficients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Cl<sup>−</sup> | AgCl/Ag<sub>2</sub>S | \( K_{\text{Cl}^-/\text{I}^-} = 10^6 \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{Cl}^-/\text{Br}^-} = 100 \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{Cl}^-/\text{OH}^-} = 0.01 \)  
| | | S<sup>2−</sup> must be absent |
| I<sup>−</sup> | AgI/Ag<sub>2</sub>S | \( K_{\text{I}^-/\text{S}^{2−}} = 30 \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{I}^-/\text{Br}^-} = 10^{-4} \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{I}^-/\text{Cl}^-} = 10^{-6} \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{I}^-/\text{OH}^-} = 10^{-7} \)  
| SCN<sup>−</sup> | AgSCN/Ag<sub>2</sub>S | \( K_{\text{SCN}^-/\text{I}^-} = 10^3 \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{SCN}^-/\text{Br}^-} = 100 \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{SCN}^-/\text{Cl}^-} = 0.1 \)  
| | | \( K_{\text{SCN}^-/\text{OH}^-} = 0.01 \)  
| | | S<sup>2−</sup> must be absent |
| S<sup>2−</sup> | Ag<sub>2</sub>S | Hg<sup>2+</sup> interferes |

<sup>a</sup> Selectivity coefficients are approximate; values found experimentally may vary substantially from the listed values. See Cammann, K. *Working With Ion-Selective Electrodes*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1977.

The membrane of a F<sup>−</sup> ion-selective electrode is fashioned from a single crystal of LaF<sub>3</sub>, which is usually doped with a
small amount of EuF$_2$ to enhance the membrane’s conductivity. Because EuF$_2$ provides only two F$^-$ ions—compared to the three F$^-$ ions in LaF$_3$—each EuF$_2$ produces a vacancy in the crystal’s lattice. Fluoride ions pass through the membrane by moving into adjacent vacancies. As shown in Figure 11.17, the LaF$_3$ membrane is sealed into the end of a non-conducting plastic cylinder, which contains a standard solution of F$^-$, typically 0.1 M NaF, and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

The membrane potential for a F$^-$ ISE results from a difference in the solubility of LaF$_3$ on opposite sides of the membrane, with the potential given by

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K - 0.05916 \log a_{\text{F}^-}$$

One advantage of the F$^-$ ion-selective electrode is its freedom from interference. The only significant exception is OH$^-$ ($K_{\text{F}^-/\text{OH}^-} = 0.1$), which imposes a maximum pH limit for a successful analysis.

Example 11.7

What is the maximum pH that we can tolerate if we wish to analyze a solution in which the activity of F$^-$ is $1 \times 10^{-5}$ and if the error is to be less than 1%?

**Solution**

In the presence of OH$^-$ the cell potential is

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K - 0.05916 \log \left( a_{\text{F}^-} + K_{\text{F}^-/\text{OH}^-} \times a_{\text{OH}^-} \right)$$

To achieve an error of less than 1%, the term $K_{\text{F}^-/\text{OH}^-} \times a_{\text{OH}^-}$ must be less than 1% of $a_{\text{F}^-}$; thus

$$K_{\text{F}^-/\text{OH}^-} \times a_{\text{OH}^-} \leq 0.01 \times a_{\text{F}^-}$$

$$0.10 \times a_{\text{OH}^-} \leq 0.01 \times (1 \times 10^{-5})$$

Solving for $a_{\text{OH}^-}$ gives its maximum allowable activity as $1 \times 10^{-6}$, which corresponds to a pH of less than 8.

Practice Exercise 11.6

Suppose you wish to use the nitrite-selective electrode in Practice Exercise 11.5 to measure the activity of NO$_2^-$$. If the activity of NO$_2^-$ is $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$, what is the maximum pH you can tolerate if the error due to OH$^-$ is to be less than 10%? The selectivity coefficient for OH$^-$, $K_{\text{NO2}^-/\text{OH}^-}$, is 630. Do you expect the electrode to have a lower pH limit? Clearly explain your answer.

Click [here](#) to review your answer to this exercise.

Below a pH of 4 the predominate form of fluoride in solution is HF, which does not contribute to the membrane potential. For this reason, an analysis for fluoride must be carried out at a pH greater than 4.

Unlike a glass membrane ion-selective electrodes, a solid-state ISE does not need to be conditioned before it is used,
and it may be stored dry. The surface of the electrode is subject to poisoning, as described above for a Cl\textsuperscript{−} ISE in contact with an excessive concentration of Br\textsuperscript{−}. If an electrode is poisoned, it can be returned to its original condition by sanding and polishing the crystalline membrane.

Note

Poisoning simply means that the surface has been chemically modified, such as AgBr forming on the surface of a AgCl membrane.

Liquid-Based Ion-Selective Electrodes

Another class of ion-selective electrodes uses a hydrophobic membrane containing a liquid organic complexing agent that reacts selectively with the analyte. Three types of organic complexing agents have been used: cation exchangers, anion exchangers, and neutral ionophores. A membrane potential exists if the analyte’s activity is different on the two sides of the membrane. Current is carried through the membrane by the analyte.

Note

An ionophore is a ligand whose exterior is hydrophobic and whose interior is hydrophilic. The crown ether shown here

![Crown Ether](image)

is one example of an neutral ionophore.

One example of a liquid-based ion-selective electrode is that for Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, which uses a porous plastic membrane saturated with the cation exchanger di-(n-decyl) phosphate. As shown in Figure 11.18, the membrane is placed at the end of a non-conducting cylindrical tube, and is in contact with two reservoirs. The outer reservoir contains di-(n-decyl) phosphate in di-n-octyolphenyolphosphonate, which soaks into the porous membrane. The inner reservoir contains a standard aqueous solution of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Calcium ion-selective electrodes are also available in which the di-(n-decyl) phosphate is immobilized in a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) membrane, eliminating the need for the outer reservoir containing di-(n-decyl) phosphate.
Figure 11.18 Schematic diagram showing a liquid-based ion-selective electrode for Ca\(^{2+}\). The structure of the cation exchanger, di-(n-decyl) phosphate, is shown in red.

The membrane potential for the Ca\(^{2+}\) ISE develops as the result of a difference in the extent of the complexation reaction

\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} (\text{aq}) + 2 \text{C}_{10}H_{21}O_2\text{PO}_2^- (\text{mem}) \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}[(\text{C}_{10}H_{21}O_2\text{PO}_2)_2]^{2+} (\text{mem})
\]

on the two sides of the membrane, where (mem) indicates a species that is present in the membrane. The cell potential for the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion-selective electrode is

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = K + \frac{0.05916}{2} \log a_{\text{Ca}^{2+}}
\]

The selectivity of this electrode for Ca\(^{2+}\) is very good, with only Zn\(^{2+}\) showing greater selectivity.

Table 11.3 lists the properties of several liquid-based ion-selective electrodes. An electrode using a liquid reservoir can be stored in a dilute solution of analyte and needs no additional conditioning before use. The lifetime of an electrode with a PVC membrane, however, is proportional to its exposure to aqueous solutions. For this reason these electrodes are best stored by covering the membrane with a cap along with a small amount of wetted gauze to maintain a humid environment. Before using the electrode it is conditioned in a solution of analyte for 30–60 minutes.

Table 11.3 Representative Examples of Liquid-Based Ion-Selective Electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>analyte</th>
<th>membrane composition</th>
<th>selectivity coefficients(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ca\(^{2+}\) | di-(n-decyl) phosphate in PVC | \(K_{\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Zn}^{2+}} = 1–5\)  
\(K_{\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Al}^{3+}} = 0.90\)  
\(K_{\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mn}^{2+}} = 0.38\)  
\(K_{\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Cu}^{2+}} = 0.070\)  
\(K_{\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}} = 0.032\) |
| K\(^+\)    | valinomycin in PVC    | \(K_{K^+/\text{Rb}^+} = 1.9\) 
\(K_{K^+/\text{Ca}^+} = 0.38\) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>analyte</th>
<th>membrane composition</th>
<th>selectivity coefficients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ETH 149 in PVC</td>
<td>( K_{Li^+/H^+} = 1 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{Li^+/Na^+} = 0.05 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{Li^+/K^+} = 0.007 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>nonactin and monactin in PVC</td>
<td>( K_{NH4^+/K^+} = 0.12 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{NH4^+/H^+} = 0.016 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{NH4^+/Li^+} = 0.0042 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{NH4^+/Na^+} = 0.002 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Fe(o-phen)&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;³⁺ in 3-nitrocymene with porous membrane</td>
<td>( K_{ClO4^−/OH^−} = 1 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{ClO4^−/I^−} = 0.012 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{ClO4^−/NO3^−} = 0.0015 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{ClO4^−/Br^−} = 5.6×10^{-4} )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{ClO4^−/Cl^−} = 2.2×10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>tetradodecyl ammonium nitrate in PVC</td>
<td>( K_{NO3^−/Cl^−} = 0.006 )  &lt;br&gt; ( K_{NO3^−/F^−} = 9×10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Selectivity coefficients are approximate; values found experimentally may vary substantially from the listed values. See Cammann, K. *Working With Ion-Selective Electrodes*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1977.

**Gas-Sensing Electrodes**

A number of membrane electrodes respond to the concentration of a dissolved gas. The basic design of a gas-sensing electrode is shown in Figure 11.19, consisting of a thin membrane that separates the sample from an inner solution containing an ion-selective electrode. The membrane is permeable to the gaseous analyte, but impermeable to nonvolatile components in the sample’s matrix. The gaseous analyte passes through the membrane where it reacts with the inner solution, producing a species whose concentration is monitored by the ion-selective electrode. For example, in a CO<sub>2</sub> electrode, CO<sub>2</sub> diffuses across the membrane where it reacts in the inner solution to produce H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>.

\[
\text{CO}_{2}^{(aq)} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^{−}(aq) + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+(aq) \tag{11.12}
\]
The change in the activity of $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ in the inner solution is monitored with a pH electrode, for which the cell potential is given by equation 11.11. To find the relationship between the activity of $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ in the inner solution and the activity $\text{CO}_2$ in the inner solution we rearrange the equilibrium constant expression for reaction 11.10; thus

\[
[a_{\text{H}_3\text{O}^+}] = K_a \times \frac{a_{\text{CO}_2}}{a_{\text{HCO}_3^-}}
\tag{11.13}
\]

where $K_a$ is the equilibrium constant. If the activity of $\text{HCO}_3^-$ in the internal solution is sufficiently large, then its activity is not affected by the small amount of $\text{CO}_2$ that passes through the membrane. Substituting equation 11.13 into equation 11.11 gives

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = K' + 0.05916 \log a_{\text{CO}_2}
\]

where $K'$ is a constant that includes the constant for the pH electrode, the equilibrium constant for reaction 11.12 and the activity of $\text{HCO}_3^-$ in the inner solution.

Table 11.4 lists the properties of several gas-sensing electrodes. The composition of the inner solution changes with use,
and both the inner solution and the membrane must be replaced periodically. Gas-sensing electrodes are stored in a solution similar to the internal solution to minimize their exposure to atmospheric gases.

Table 11.4 Representative Examples of Gas-Sensing Electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>analyte</th>
<th>inner solution</th>
<th>reaction in inner solution</th>
<th>ion-selective electrode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>10 mM NaHCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; 10 mM NaCl</td>
<td>(\text{CO}_2\text{(aq)} + \text{2H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^-\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+(aq))</td>
<td>glass pH ISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>10 mM KAg(CN)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>(\text{HCN}\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{CN}^-\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+(aq))</td>
<td>Ag₂S solid-state ISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>1 M H₃O⁺</td>
<td>(\text{HF}\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{F}^-\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+(aq))</td>
<td>F⁻ solid-state ISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>pH 5 citrate buffer</td>
<td>(\text{H}_2\text{S}\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{HS}^-\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+(aq))</td>
<td>Ag₂S solid-state ISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>10 mM NH₄Cl 0.1 M KNO₃</td>
<td>(\text{NH}_3\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_4^+(aq) + \text{OH}^-(aq))</td>
<td>glass pH ISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>20 mM NaNO₂ 0.1 M KNO₃</td>
<td>(\text{2NO}_2\text{(aq)} + \text{3H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{NO}_3^-\text{(aq)} + \text{2NO}_2^-\text{(aq)} + \text{2H}_3\text{O}^+(aq))</td>
<td>glass pH ISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1 mM NaHSO₃ pH 5</td>
<td>(\text{SO}_2\text{(aq)} + \text{2H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{HSO}_3^-\text{(aq)} + \text{2H}_3\text{O}^+(aq))</td>
<td>glass pH ISE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Potentiometric Biosensors**

The approach for developing gas-sensing electrodes can be modified to create potentiometric electrodes that respond to a biochemically important species. The most common class of potentiometric biosensors are enzyme electrodes, in which we trap or immobilize an enzyme at the surface of a potentiometric electrode. The analyte’s reaction with the enzyme produces a product whose concentration is monitored by the potentiometric electrode. Potentiometric biosensors
have also been designed around other biologically active species, including antibodies, bacterial particles, tissues, and hormone receptors.

One example of an enzyme electrode is the urea electrode, which is based on the catalytic hydrolysis of urea by urease

\[
\ce{CO(NH2)2}(aq) + \ce{2H2O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \ce{2NH4+}(aq) + \ce{CO3^2-}(aq)
\]

Figure 11.20 shows one version of the urea electrode, which modifies a gas-sensing NH\(_3\) electrode by adding a dialysis membrane that traps a pH 7.0 buffered solution of urease between the dialysis membrane and the gas permeable membrane.\(^4\) (An NH\(_3\) electrode, as shown in Table 11.4, uses a gas-permeable membrane and a glass pH electrode. The NH\(_3\) diffuses across the membrane where it changes the pH of the internal solution.) When immersed in the sample, urea diffuses through the dialysis membrane where it reacts with the enzyme urease to form the ammonium ion, NH\(_4^+\), which is in equilibrium with NH\(_3\).

\[
\ce{NH4+}(aq) + \ce{H2O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \ce{H3O+}(aq) + \ce{NH3}(aq)
\]

The NH\(_3\), in turn, diffuses through the gas permeable membrane where a pH electrode measures the resulting change in pH. The response of the electrode to the concentration of urea is given by

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = K - (0.05916)\log a_{\text{urea}} \tag{11.14}
\]

Note

Problem 11.7 asks you to show that equation 11.14 is correct.
Figure 11.20 Schematic diagram showing an enzyme-based potentiometric biosensor for urea. A solution of the enzyme urease is trapped between a dialysis membrane and a gas permeable membrane. Urea diffuses across the dialysis membrane and reacts with urease, producing NH$_3$ that diffuses across the gas permeable membrane. The resulting change in the internal solution's pH is measured with the pH electrode.

Another version of the urea electrode (Figure 11.21) immobilizes the enzyme urease in a polymer membrane formed directly on the tip of a glass pH electrode. In this case the response of the electrode is

$$\text{pH} = K_a \text{urea} \tag{11.15}$$

Note

Problem 11.8 asks you to explain the difference between equation 11.14 and equation 11.15.

Few potentiometric biosensors are commercially available. As shown in Figure 11.20 and Figure 11.21, however, it is possible to convert an ionselective electrode or a gas-sensing electrode into a biosensor. Several representative examples are described in Table 11.5, and additional examples can be found in this chapter's additional resources.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>analyte</th>
<th>biologically active phase$^b$</th>
<th>substance determined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5′-adenosinemonophosphate (5′-AMP)</td>
<td>AMP-deaminase (E)</td>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-arginine</td>
<td>arginine and urease (E)</td>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asparaginase</td>
<td>asparaginase (E)</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-cysteine</td>
<td><em>Proteus morganii</em> (B)</td>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-glutamate</td>
<td>yellow squash (T)</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-glutamine</td>
<td><em>Sarcina flava</em> (B)</td>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate</td>
<td>oxalate decarboxylas (E)</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>penicillin</td>
<td>pencillinase (E)</td>
<td>H$_3$O$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-phenylalanine</td>
<td>L-amino acid oxidase / horseradish peroxidase (E)</td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sugars</td>
<td>bacteria from dental plaque (B)</td>
<td>H$_3$O$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urea</td>
<td>urease (E)</td>
<td>NH$_3$ or H$_3$O$^+$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


$^b$ Abbreviations: E = enzyme; B = bacterial particle; T = tissue.
11.2.5 Quantitative Applications

The potentiometric determination of an analyte’s concentration is one of the most common quantitative analytical techniques. Perhaps the most frequent analytical measurement is the determination of a solution’s pH, a measurement we will consider in more detail later in this section. Other areas where potentiometry is important are clinical chemistry, environmental chemistry, and potentiometric titrations. Before considering representative applications, however, we need to examine more closely the relationship between cell potential and the analyte’s concentration and methods for standardizing potentiometric measurements.

Activity and Concentration

The Nernst equation relates the cell potential to the analyte’s activity. For example, the Nernst equation for a metallic electrode of the first kind is

\[ E_{\text{cell}} = K + \dfrac{0.05916}{n} \log a_{\text{M}^{n+}} \tag{11.16} \]

where \( a_{\text{M}^{n+}} \) is the activity of the metal ion. When we use a potentiometric electrode, however, our goal is to determine the analyte’s concentration. As we learned in Chapter 6, an ion’s activity is the product of its concentration, \([\text{M}^{n+}]\), and a matrix-dependent activity coefficient, \( \gamma_{\text{M}^{n+}} \).

\[ a_{\text{M}^{n+}} = [\text{M}^{n+}] \gamma_{\text{M}^{n+}} \tag{11.17} \]

Substituting equation 11.17 into equation 11.16 and rearranging, gives

\[ E_{\text{cell}} = K + \dfrac{0.05916}{n} \log \gamma_{\text{M}^{n+}} + \dfrac{0.05916}{n} \log [\text{M}^{n+}] \tag{11.18} \]

We can solve equation 11.18 for the metal ion’s concentration if we know the value for its activity coefficient. Unfortunately, if we do not know the exact ionic composition of the sample’s matrix—which is the usual situation—then we cannot calculate the value of \( \gamma_{\text{M}^{n+}} \). There is a solution to this dilemma. If we design our system so that the standards and the samples have an identical matrix, then the value of \( \gamma_{\text{M}^{n+}} \) remains constant and equation 11.18 simplifies to

\[ E_{\text{cell}} = K' + \dfrac{0.05916}{n} \log [\text{M}^{n+}] \]

where \( K' \) includes the activity coefficient.

Quantitative Analysis using External Standards

Before determining the concentration of analyte in a sample it is necessary to standardize the electrode. If the electrode’s response obeys the Nernst equation, then we only need to determine the constant \( K \) using a single external standard. Because a small deviation from the ideal slope of ±\( RT/nF \) or ±\( RT/zF \) is not unexpected, we usually choose to use two or more external standards.

Note

To review the use of external standards, see Section 5.3.2.
In the absence of interferents, a calibration curve of $E_{\text{cell}}$ versus $\log a_A$, where $A$ is the analyte, is a straight line. A plot of $E_{\text{cell}}$ versus $\log[A]$, however, may show curvature at higher concentrations of analyte as a result of a matrix-dependent change in the analyte’s activity coefficient. To maintain a consistent matrix we can add a high concentration of inert electrolyte to all samples and standards. If the concentration of added electrolyte is sufficient, the difference between the sample’s matrix and that of the standards does not affect the ionic strength and the activity coefficient remains essentially constant. The inert electrolyte that we add to the sample and standards is called a **total ionic strength adjustment buffer** (TISAB).

Example 11.8

The concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ in a water sample is determined using the method of external standards. The ionic strength of the samples and the standards was maintained at a nearly constant level by making each solution 0.5 M in KNO$_3$. The measured cell potentials for the external standards are shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ (M)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{cell}}$ (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.00\times10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.125$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.00\times10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.103$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.00\times10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-0.093$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.00\times10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-0.072$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.00\times10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$-0.065$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.00\times10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$-0.043$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.00\times10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$-0.033$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ in a water sample if its cell potential is found to be $-0.084$ V?

**Solution**

Linear regression gives the calibration curve shown in Figure 11.22, with an equation of

\[
E_{\text{cell}} = 0.027 + 0.0303\log[\text{Ca}^{2+}]
\]

Substituting the sample’s cell potential gives the concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ as $2.17\times10^{-4}$ M. Note that the slope of the calibration curve, which is 0.0303, is slightly larger than its ideal value of 0.05916/2 = 0.02958; this is not unusual and is one reason for using multiple standards.
Another approach to calibrating a potentiometric electrode is the method of standard additions. First, we transfer a sample with a volume of $V_A$ and an analyte concentration of $C_A$ into a beaker and measure the potential, $(E_{\text{cell}})_A$. Next, we make a standard addition by adding to the sample a small volume, $V_{\text{std}}$, of a standard containing a known concentration of analyte, $C_{\text{std}}$, and measure the potential, $(E_{\text{cell}})_{\text{std}}$. If $V_{\text{std}}$ is significantly smaller than $V_A$, then we can safely ignore the change in the sample's matrix and assume that analyte's activity coefficient is constant. Example 11.9 demonstrates how we can use a one-point standard addition to determine the concentration of analyte in a sample.

**Quantitative Analysis using the Method of Standard Additions**

To review the method of standard additions, see Section 5.3.3.

**Example 11.9**

The concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ in a sample of sea water is determined using a Ca ion-selective electrode and a one-point standard addition. A 10.00-mL sample is transferred to a 100-mL volumetric flask and diluted to volume. A 50.00-mL aliquot of the sample is placed in a beaker with the Ca ISE and a reference electrode, and the potential is measured as $-0.05290$ V. After adding a 1.00-mL aliquot of a $5.00 \times 10^{-2}$ M standard solution of Ca$^{2+}$ the potential is $-0.04417$ V. What is the concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ in the sample of sea water?

**Solution**

To begin, we write the Nernst equation before and after adding the standard addition. The cell potential for the sample is

$$[(E_\text{cell})_A = K + \frac{0.05916}{2}\log C_A]$$

and that following the standard addition is

$$[(E_\text{cell})_{\text{std}} = K + \frac{0.05916}{2}\log (C + C_{\text{std}})]$$
\[
(E_{\text{cell}})_{\text{std}} = K + \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \left\{ \frac{V_A}{V_{\text{tot}}} C_A + \frac{V_{\text{std}}}{V_{\text{tot}}} C_{\text{std}} \right\}
\]

where \( V_{\text{tot}} \) is the total volume \((V_A + V_{\text{std}})\) after the standard addition. Subtracting the first equation from the second equation gives

\[
\Delta E_{\text{cell}} = (E_{\text{cell}})_{\text{std}} - (E_{\text{cell}})_{A} = \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \left\{ \frac{V_A}{V_{\text{tot}}} C_A + \frac{V_{\text{std}}}{V_{\text{tot}}} C_{\text{std}} \right\} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log C_A
\]

Rearranging this equation leaves us with

\[
\frac{2 \Delta E_{\text{cell}}}{0.05916} = \log \left\{ \frac{V_A}{V_{\text{tot}}} + \frac{V_{\text{std}} C_{\text{std}}}{V_{\text{tot}} C_A} \right\}
\]

Substituting known values for \( \Delta E, V_A, V_{\text{std}}, V_{\text{tot}} \) and \( C_{\text{std}} \),

\[
0.2951 = \log \left\{ \frac{50.00 \text{ mL}}{51.00 \text{ mL}} + \frac{(1.00 \text{ mL}) \times (5.00 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M})}{(51.00 \text{ mL}) \times C_A} \right\}
\]

and taking the inverse log of both sides gives

\[
1.973 = 0.9804 + \log \left\{ \frac{9.804 \times 10^{-4}}{C_A} \right\}
\]

Finally, solving for \( C_A \) gives the concentration of Ca\(^{2+} \) as \( 9.88 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \). Because we diluted the original sample of seawater by a factor of 10, the concentration of Ca\(^{2+} \) in the seawater sample is \( 9.88 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M} \).

**Free Ions Versus Complexed Ions**

Most potentiometric electrodes are selective toward the free, uncomplexed form of the analyte, and do not respond to any of the analyte’s complexed forms. This selectivity provides potentiometric electrodes with a significant advantage over other quantitative methods of analysis if we need to determine the concentration of free ions. For example, calcium is present in urine both as free Ca\(^{2+} \) ions and as protein-bound Ca\(^{2+} \) ions. If we analyze a urine sample using atomic absorption spectroscopy, the signal is proportional to the total concentration of Ca\(^{2+} \) because both free and bound calcium are atomized. Analyzing urine with a Ca\(^{2+} \) ISE, however, gives a signal that is a function of only free Ca\(^{2+} \) ions because the protein-bound Ca\(^{2+} \) can not interact with the electrode’s membrane.

**Note**

The best way to appreciate the theoretical and practical details discussed in this section is to carefully examine a typical analytical method. Although each method is unique, the following description of the determination of F\(^- \) in toothpaste provides an instructive example of a typical procedure. The description here is based on Kennedy, J. H. *Analytical Chemistry—Practice*, Harcourt Brace Jaovanovich: San Diego, 1984, p. 117–118.
Determination of Fluoride in Toothpaste

Description of the Method

The concentration of fluoride in toothpastes containing soluble F\(^-\) may be determined with a F\(^-\) ion-selective electrode using a calibration curve prepared with external standards. Although the F\(^-\) ISE is very selective (only OH\(^-\) with a \(K_{F^-/OH^-}\) of 0.1 is a significant interferent), Fe\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) interfere with the analysis because they form soluble fluoride complexes that do not interact with the ion-selective electrode’s membrane. This interference is minimized by reacting any Fe\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) with a suitable complexing agent.

Procedure

(Problem 11.14 provides some actual data for the determination of fluoride in toothpaste.)

Prepare 1 L of a standard solution of 1.00% w/v SnF\(_2\) and transfer it to a plastic bottle for storage. Using this solution, prepare 100 mL each of standards containing 0.32%, 0.36%, 0.40%, 0.44% and 0.48% w/v SnF\(_2\), adding 400 mg of malic acid to each solution as a stabilizer. Transfer the standards to plastic bottles for storage. Prepare a total ionic strength adjustment buffer (TISAB) by mixing 500 mL of water, 57 mL of glacial acetic acid, 58 g of NaCl, and 4 g of disodium DCTA (trans-1,2-cyclohexanetetraacetic acid) in a 1-L beaker, stirring until dissolved. Cool the beaker in a water bath and add 5 M NaOH until the pH is between 5–5.5. Transfer the contents of the beaker to a 1-L volumetric flask and dilute to volume. Prepare each external standard by placing approximately 1 g of a fluoride-free toothpaste, 30 mL of distilled water, and 1.00 mL of standard into a 50-mL plastic beaker and mix vigorously for two min with a stir bar. Quantitatively transfer the resulting suspension to a 100-mL volumetric flask along with 50 mL of TISAB and dilute to volume with distilled water. Store the entire external standard in a 250-mL plastic beaker until your are ready to measure the potential. Prepare toothpaste samples by obtaining an approximately 1-g portion and treating in the same manner as the standards. Measure the cell potential for the external standards and the samples using a F\(^-\) ion-selective electrode and an appropriate reference electrode. When measuring the potential, stir solution and allow two to three minutes to reach a stable potential. Report the concentration of F\(^-\) in the toothpaste %w/w SnF\(_2\).

Questions

1. The total ionic strength adjustment buffer serves several purposes in this procedure. Identify these purposes.

The composition of the TISAB has three purposes:

(a) The high concentration of NaCl (the final solutions are approximately 1 M NaCl) ensures that the ionic strength of each external standard and each sample is essentially identical. Because the activity coefficient for fluoride is the same in all solutions, we can write the Nernst equation in terms of fluoride’s concentration instead of its activity.

(b) The combination of glacial acetic acid and NaOH creates an acetic acid/acetate buffer of pH 5–5.5. As shown in Figure 11.23, the pH of this buffer is high enough to ensure that the predominate form of fluoride is F\(^-\) instead of HF. This pH also is sufficiently acidic that it avoids an interference from OH\(^-\) (see Example 11.8).
(c) DCTA is added as a complexing agent for Fe$^{3+}$ or Al$^{3+}$, preventing the formation of FeF$_6^{3-}$ or AlF$_6^{3-}$.

2. Why is a fluoride-free toothpaste added to the standard solutions?

Adding a fluoride-free toothpaste protects against any unaccounted for matrix effects that might influence the ion-selective electrode's response. This assumes, of course, that the matrices of the two toothpastes are otherwise similar.

3. The procedure specifies that the standards and the sample should be stored in plastic containers. Why is it a bad idea to store the solutions in glass containers?

The fluoride ion is capable of reacting with glass to form SiF$_4$.

4. Suppose that your calibration curve has a slope of –57.98 mV for each 10-fold change in the concentration of F$^-$$. The ideal slope from the Nernst equation is –59.16 mV per 10-fold change in concentration. What effect does this have on the quantitative analysis for fluoride in toothpaste?

No effect at all! This is why we prepare a calibration curve using multiple standards.

![Ladder diagram for HF/F$^-$](image)

**Figure 11.23** Ladder diagram for HF/F$^-$$. Maintaining a pH greater than 4.2 ensures that the only significant form of fluoride is F$^-$$.$

---

**Measurement of pH**

With the availability of inexpensive glass pH electrodes and pH meters, the determination of pH is one of the most frequent quantitative analytical measurements. The potentiometric determination of pH, however, is not without complications, several of which we discuss in this section.

One complication is confusion over the meaning of pH.$^6$ The conventional definition of pH in most general chemistry
textbooks is

\[ \text{pH} = \log [H^+] \tag{11.19} \]

As we now know, pH actually is a measure of the activity of H⁺.

\[ \text{pH} = \log \mathit{a}_{H^+} \tag{11.20} \]

Equation 11.19 only approximates the true pH. If we calculate the pH of 0.1 M HCl using equation 11.19, we obtain a value of 1.00; the solution’s actual pH, as defined by equation 11.20, is 1.1. The activity and the concentration of H⁺ are not the same in 0.1 M HCl because the activity coefficient for H⁺ is not 1.00 in this matrix. Figure 11.24 shows a more colorful demonstration of the difference between activity and concentration.

Figure 11.24 A demonstration of the difference between activity and concentration using the indicator methyl green. The indicator is yellow in its acid form (beaker a: 1.0 M HCl) and is blue in its base form (beaker d: H₂O). In 10 mM HCl the indicator is in its base form (beaker b: 20 mL of 10 mM HCl with 3 drops of methyl green). Adding 20 mL of 5 M LiCl to this solution shifts the indicator’s color to green (beaker c). Although the concentration of HCl has been cut in half, the activity of H⁺ has increased.

Note

The demonstration shown here is adapted from McCarty, C. G.; Vitz, E. "pH Paradoxes: Demonstrating That It Is Not True That pH ≡ –log[H⁺]," J. Chem. Educ. 2006, 83, 752–757. This paper provides several additional demonstrations that illustrate the difference between concentration and activity.

A second complication in measuring pH is the uncertainty in the relationship between potential and activity. For a glass membrane electrode, the cell potential, \((E_{\text{cell}})_{A}\), for a solution of unknown pH is

\[(E_{\text{cell}})_{A} = K - \frac{RT}{F} \log \frac{1}{a_{\text{H}^+}} = K - \frac{2.303RT}{F} \text{pH}_A \tag{11.21} \]

where K includes the potential of the reference electrode, the asymmetry potential of the glass membrane, and any junction potentials in the electrochemical cell. All the contributions to K are subject to uncertainty, and may change from day to day, as well as between electrodes. For this reason, before using a pH electrode we calibrate it using a standard buffer of known pH. The cell potential for the standard, \((E_{\text{cell}})_{\text{std}}\), is

\[(E_{\text{cell}})_{\text{std}} = K - \frac{2.303RT}{F} \text{pH}_{\text{std}} \tag{11.22} \]

where \text{pH}_{\text{std}} is the standard’s pH. Subtracting equation 11.22 from equation 11.21 and solving for \text{pH}_A gives
\[
\text{pH}_A = \text{pH}_{\text{std}} - \frac{(E_{\text{cell}})_A - (E_{\text{cell}})_{\text{std}}}{2.303RT}
\tag{11.23}
\]
which is the operational definition of pH adopted by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry.\(^8\)

**Note**

The equations in this section assume that the pH electrode is the cathode in a potentiometric cell. In this case an increase in pH corresponds to a decrease in the cell potential. Many pH meters are designed with the pH electrode as the anode, resulting in an increase in the cell potential for higher pH values. The operational definition of pH in this case is

\[
\text{pH}_A = \text{pH}_{\text{std}} + \frac{(E_{\text{cell}})_A - (E_{\text{cell}})_{\text{std}}}{2.303RT}
\]

The difference between this equation and equation 11.23 does not affect the operation of a pH meter.

Calibrating a pH electrode presents a third complication because we need a standard with an accurately known activity for \(\text{H}^+\). Table 11.6 provides pH values for several primary standard buffer solutions accepted by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

To standardize a pH electrode using two buffers, choose one near a pH of 7 and one that is more acidic or basic depending on your sample’s expected pH. Rinse your pH electrode in deionized water, blot it dry with a laboratory wipe, and place it in the buffer with a pH closest to 7. Swirl the pH electrode and allow it to equilibrate until you obtain a stable reading. Adjust the “Standardize” or “Calibrate” knob until the meter displays the correct pH. Rinse and dry the electrode, and place it in the second buffer. After the electrode equilibrates, adjust the “Slope” or “Temperature” knob until the meter displays the correct pH.

Some pH meters can compensate for changes in temperature. To use this feature, place a temperature probe in the sample and connect it to the pH meter. Adjust the “Temperature” knob to the solution’s temperature and calibrate the pH meter using the “Calibrate” and “Slope” controls. As you are using the pH electrode, the pH meter compensates for any change in the sample’s temperature by adjusting the slope of the calibration assuming a Nernstian response of \(2.303RT/F\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>saturated (at 25°C) KHC(_4)H(_5)O(_7) (tartrate)</th>
<th>0.05 m KH(_2)C(_6)H(_5)O(_7) (citrate)</th>
<th>0.05 m KHC(_8)H(_4)O(_4) (phthalate)</th>
<th>0.025 m KH(_2)PO(_4), 0.025 m Na(_2)HPO(_4)</th>
<th>0.008695 m KH(_2)PO(_4), 0.03043 m Na(_2)HPO(_4)</th>
<th>0.01 m Na(_4)B(_4)O(_7)</th>
<th>0.025 m NaHCO(_3), 0.025 m Na(_2)CO(_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Table 11.6 pH Values for Selected NIST Primary Standard Buffers**
### Clinical Applications

Because of their selectivity for analytes in complex matrices, ion-selective electrodes are important sensors for clinical samples. The most common analytes are electrolytes, such as \( \text{Na}^+ \), \( \text{K}^+ \), \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), \( \text{H}^+ \), and \( \text{Cl}^- \), and dissolved gases such as \( \text{CO}_2 \). For extracellular fluids, such as blood and urine, the analysis can be made in vitro. An in situ analysis, however, requires a much smaller electrode that can be inserted directly into a cell. Liquid-based membrane microelectrodes...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>saturated (at 25°C) KH(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{O}_7) (tartrate)</th>
<th>0.05 m KH(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7) (citrate)</th>
<th>0.05 m KH(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O}_4) (phthalate)</th>
<th>0.025 m KH(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4), 0.025 m Na(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)</th>
<th>0.008695 m KH(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4), 0.03043 m Na(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)</th>
<th>0.01 m Na(\text{HCO}_3), 0.025 m Na(\text{HPO}_4)</th>
<th>0.01 m Na(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4), 0.025 m Na(\text{HPO}_4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

with tip diameters smaller than 1 μm are constructed by heating and drawing out a hard-glass capillary tube with an initial diameter of approximately 1–2 mm (Figure 11.25). The microelectrode’s tip is made hydrophobic by dipping into a solution of dichlorodimethyl silane, and an inner solution appropriate for the analyte and a Ag/AgCl wire reference electrode are placed within the microelectrode. The microelectrode is then dipped into a solution of the liquid complexing agent, with a small volume of the liquid complexing agent being retained within the tip by capillary action. Potentiometric microelectrodes have been developed for a number of clinically important analytes, including H\(^+\), K\(^+\), Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Cl\(^-\), and I\(^-\).\(^9\)

![Schematic diagram of a liquid-based ion-selective microelectrode.]

**Figure 11.25** Schematic diagram of a liquid-based ion-selective microelectrode.

### Environmental Applications

Although ion-selective electrodes are used in environmental analysis, their application is not as widespread as in clinical analysis. Although standard potentiometric methods are available for the analysis of CN\(^-\), F\(^-\), NH\(_3\), and NO\(_3^-\) in water and wastewater, other analytical methods generally provide better detection limits. One potential advantage of an ion-selective electrode is the ability to incorporate it into a flow cell for the continuous monitoring of wastewater streams.

### Potentiometric Titrations

One method for determining the equivalence point of an acid–base titration is to use a pH electrode to monitor the change in pH during the titration. A potentiometric determination of the equivalence point is possible for acid–base, complexation, redox, and precipitation titrations, as well as for titrations in aqueous and nonaqueous solvents. Acid–base, complexation, and precipitation potentiometric titrations are usually monitored with an ion-selective electrode selective for the analyte,
although an electrode selective for the titrant or a reaction product also can be used. A redox electrode, such as a Pt wire, and a reference electrode are used for potentiometric redox titrations. More details about potentiometric titrations are found in Chapter 9.

11.2.6 Evaluation

Scale of Operation

The working range for most ion-selective electrodes is from a maximum concentration of 0.1–1 M to a minimum concentration of $10^{-5}$–$10^{-11}$ M. This broad working range extends from major analytes to ultratrace analytes, and is significantly greater than many other analytical techniques. To use a conventional ion-selective electrode we need a minimum sample volume of several mL (a macro sample). Microelectrodes, such as the one shown in Figure 11.25, may be used with ultramicro samples, although the sample must be of sufficient size to be representative of the original sample.

Note

See Figure 3.5 to review the meaning of major and ultratrace analytes, and the meaning of macro and ultramicro samples.

Accuracy

The accuracy of a potentiometric analysis is limited by the error in measuring $E_{\text{cell}}$. Several factors contribute to this measurement error, including the contribution to the potential from interfering ions, the finite current passing through the cell while measuring the potential, differences between the analyte’s activity coefficient in the samples and the standard solutions, and junction potentials. We can limit the effect of interfering ions by including a separation step before the potentiometric analysis. Modern high impedance potentiometers minimize the amount of current passing through the electrochemical cell. Finally, we can minimize the errors due to activity coefficients and junction potentials by matching the matrix of the standards to that of the sample. Even in the best circumstances, however, we may observe a difference of approximately ±1 mV for samples with equal concentrations of analyte.

We can evaluate the effect of uncertainty on the accuracy of a potentiometric measurement by using a propagation of uncertainty. For a membrane ion-selective electrode the general expression for potential is

$$E_{\text{cell}} = K + \frac{RT}{zF} \ln[A]$$

where $z$ is the analyte’s charge. From Table 4.10 in Chapter 4, the uncertainty in the cell potential, $\Delta E_{\text{cell}}$ is

$$\Delta E_{\text{cell}} = \frac{RT}{zF} \times \frac{\Delta [A]}{[A]}$$

Rearranging and multiplying through by 100 gives the percent relative error in concentration as

$$\text{% relative error} = \frac{\Delta [A]}{[A]} \times 100 = \frac{\Delta E_{\text{cell}}}{RT / zF} \times 100$$

The relative error in concentration, therefore, is a function of the measurement error, $\Delta E_{\text{cell}}$, and the analyte’s charge.
Table 11.7 provides representative values for ions with charges of ±1 and ±2 at a temperature of 25°C. Accuracies of 1–5% for monovalent ions and 2–10% for divalent ions are typical. Although equation 11.24 applies to membrane electrodes, we can use it for a metallic electrode by replacing \( z \) with \( n \).

**Precision**

Precision in potentiometry is limited by variations in temperature and the sensitivity of the potentiometer. Under most conditions—and when using a simple, general-purpose potentiometer—we can measure the potential with a repeatability of ±0.1 mV. Using Table 11.7, this corresponds to an uncertainty of ±0.4% for monovalent analytes and ±0.8% for divalent analytes. The reproducibility of potentiometric measurements is about a factor of ten poorer.

Table 11.7 Relationship Between The Uncertainty in Measuring \( E_{\text{cell}} \) and the Relative Error in the Analyte’s Concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta E_{\text{cell}} ) (±mV)</th>
<th>( z = \pm 1 )</th>
<th>( z = \pm 2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
<td>±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>±1.9</td>
<td>±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>±3.9</td>
<td>±7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>±5.8</td>
<td>±11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>±7.8</td>
<td>±15.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sensitivity**

The sensitivity of a potentiometric analysis is determined by the term \( RT/nF \) or \( RT/izF \) in the Nernst equation. Sensitivity is best for smaller values of \( n \) or \( z \).

**Selectivity**

As described earlier, most ion-selective electrodes respond to more than one analyte; the selectivity for the analyte, however, is often significantly greater than for the interfering ions. The manufacturer of an ion-selective usually provides an ISE’s selectivity coefficients, which allows the analyst to determine whether a potentiometric analysis is feasible for a given sample.
**Time, Cost, and Equipment**

In comparison to other techniques, potentiometry provides a rapid, relatively low-cost means for analyzing samples. The limiting factor when analyzing a large number of samples is the need to rinse the electrode between samples. The use of inexpensive, disposable ion-selective electrodes can increase a lab’s sample throughput. Figure 11.26 shows one example of a disposable ISE for Ag$^+$.\(^\text{11}\) Commercial instruments for measuring pH or potential are available in a variety of price ranges, and includes portable models for use in the field.

**Figure 11.26** Schematic diagram of a disposable ion-selective electrode created by screen-printing. In (a) a thin film of conducting silver is printed on a polyester substrate and a film of Ag$_2$S overlaid near the bottom. In (b) an insulation layer with a small opening is layered on top, exposing a portion of the Ag$_2$S membrane that can be immersed in the sample. The top of the polyester substrate remains uncoated, allowing the electrode to be connected to a potentiometer through the Ag film. The small inset shows the electrode’s actual size.
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