The equipartition theorem, also known as the law of equipartition, equipartition of energy or simply equipartition, states that every degree of freedom that appears only quadratically in the total energy has an average energy of $\frac{1}{2}k_BT$ in thermal equilibrium and contributes $\frac{1}{2}k_B$ to the system's heat capacity. Here, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin. The law of equipartition of energy states that each quadratic term in the classical expression for the energy contributes $\frac{1}{2}k_BT$ to the average energy. For instance, the motion of an atom has three degrees of freedom (number of ways of absorbing energy), corresponding to the x, y and z components of its momentum. Since these momenta appear quadratically in the kinetic energy, every atom has an average kinetic energy of $(3/2k_BT)$ in thermal equilibrium. The number of degrees of freedom of a polyatomic gas molecule is $(3N)$ where $N$ is the number of atoms in the molecule. This is equal to number of coordinates for the system; e.g. for two atoms you would have x, y, z for each atom.

**Translations**

The translational contribution to the average energy is derived in terms of the derivative of the translational partition:

\[
\langle E_{\text{trans}} \rangle = - \frac{1}{q_{\text{trans}}} \frac{\partial q_{\text{trans}}}{\partial \beta} \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

Introducing the translational partition function derived earlier, Equation (Ref(Eq1)) becomes

\[
\langle E_{\text{trans}} \rangle = - \frac{\Lambda^3}{V} \frac{\partial }{\partial \beta} \frac{V}{\Lambda^3} = - \frac{3}{\Lambda} \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \beta} = \frac{3}{2} k_BT
\]

Thus, the three translational degrees of freedom in three dimensions satisfy the equipartition theorem with each translational degree providing $\frac{1}{2}k_BT$ of energy.

**Rotations**

Consider the molecular partition functions. The average rotational energy to the average energy is derived in terms of the derivative of the translational partition:

\[
\langle E_{\text{rot}} \rangle = - \frac{1}{q_{\text{rot}}} \frac{\partial q_{\text{rot}}}{\partial \beta} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

which when you introduce the rotational partition function, Equation (Ref(Eq2)) becomes

\[
\langle E_{\text{rot}} \rangle = -\sigma \beta \tilde{B} \frac{1}{\sigma \tilde{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \frac{1}{\beta} = k_BT
\]

The classical expression for the rotational energy of a diatomic molecule is

\[
\langle E_{\text{rot}} \rangle^{(\text{classical})} = \frac{1}{2} I \left( \omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 \right)
\]

where $I$ is the moment of inertia and $(\omega_x)$ and $(\omega_y)$ are the angular velocities in the $(x)$ and $(y)$ directions. The rotation along the molecular axis (the $(z)$ axis here) has no meaning in quantum mechanics because the rotations along the molecular axis lead to configurations which are indistinguishable from the original configuration.
The two rotational degrees of freedom have thus given a value of \( kT \) with each rotational degree providing \( \frac{1}{2} k_BT \) of energy.

**Vibrations**

Consider vibrational motions. The average vibrational energy to the average energy is derived in terms of the derivative of the translational partition:

\[
\langle E_{\text{vib}} \rangle = -\frac{1}{q_{\text{vib}}} \frac{\partial q_{\text{vib}}}{\partial \beta} \tag{Eq3}
\]

which when you introduce the *partition function for vibration*, Equation \( \text{\textref{Eq3}} \) becomes

\[
\langle E_{\text{vib}} \rangle = \frac{-1}{q_{\text{vib}}} \left( -hc\tilde{\nu}\frac{ e^{-hc \tilde{\nu}/k_BT}}{(1-e^{-hc \tilde{\nu}/k_BT})^2 } \right) = hc\tilde{\nu} \frac{ e^{-hc \tilde{\nu}/k_BT}}{(1-e^{-hc \tilde{\nu}/k_BT})} \tag{18.1.7}
\]

This can be simplified by dividing both numerator and denominator of Equation \( \text{\textref{18.1.7}} \) by \( (e^{hc \tilde{\nu}/k_BT}) \)

\[
\langle E_{\text{vib}} \rangle = hc \tilde{\nu} \left( \frac{ 1 }{e^{hc \tilde{\nu}/k_BT} -1} \right) \tag{18.1.7B}
\]

Equation \( \text{\textref{18.1.7B}} \) is applicable at all temperatures, but if \( hc \tilde{\nu}/k_BT \ll 1 \) (i.e., the high temperature limit), then the exponential in the denominator can be expanded

\[
\langle E_{\text{vib}} \rangle \approx \frac{hc \tilde{\nu}}{k_BT} \tag{18.1.10}
\]

with each vibrational degree providing \( k_BT \) of energy (since there are two quadratic terms in the Hamiltonian for a harmonic oscillator (kinetics energy and potential energy).

Compare Equation \( \text{\textref{18.1.10}} \) with the classical expression for the vibrational energy

\[
\langle E_{\text{vib}} \rangle^{\text{(classical)}} = \frac{1}{2} kx^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu v_x^2
\]

At high temperature the equipartition theorem is valid, but at low temperature, the expansion in Equation \( \text{\textref{expansion}} \) fails (or more terms are required). In this case, only a few vibrational states are occupied and the equipartition principle is not typically applicable.

**Heat Capacity**

Heat capacity at constant volume \( C_v \), is defined as
The equipartition theorem requires that each degree of freedom that appears only quadratically in the total energy has an average energy of \( \frac{1}{2} k_B T \) in thermal equilibrium and, thus, contributes \( \frac{1}{2} k_B \) to the system's heat capacity. Thus the three translational degrees of freedom each contribute \( \frac{1}{2} R \) to \( \frac{3}{2} R \). The contribution of rotational kinetic energy will be \( R \) for the linear, and \( \frac{3}{2} R \) for the nonlinear molecules. For the vibration, an oscillator has quadratic kinetic and potential terms, making the contribution of each vibrational mode \( R \). However, \( k_B T \) has to be much greater than the spacing between the quantum energy levels. If this is not satisfied, the heat capacity will be reduced and which drop to zero at low temperatures. The corresponding degree of freedom is said to be frozen out; this is the situation for the vibrational degrees of freedom at room temperature and that is why the usual assumption is that they will not contribute.
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Figure: Idealized plot of the molar specific heat of a diatomic gas against temperature. It agrees with the value \( \frac{7}{2} R \) predicted by equipartition at high temperatures (where \( R \) is the gas constant), but decreases to \( \frac{5}{2} R \) and then \( \frac{3}{2} R \) at lower temperatures, as the vibrational and rotational modes of motion are "frozen out". The failure of the equipartition theorem led to a paradox that was only resolved by quantum mechanics. For most molecules, the transitional temperature \( T_{\text{rot}} \) is much less than room temperature, whereas \( T_{\text{vib}} \) can be ten times larger or more. A typical example is carbon monoxide, CO, for which \( T_{\text{rot}} \approx 2.8 \) K and \( T_{\text{vib}} \approx 3103 \) K. For molecules with very large or weakly bound atoms, \( T_{\text{vib}} \) can be close to room temperature (about 300 K); for example, \( T_{\text{vib}} \approx 308 \) K for iodine gas, \( \text{I}_2 \).

Example: \( \text{CO}_2 \) vs. \( \text{NO}_2 \)

For comparing the molar heat capacities of nitrogen dioxide and carbon dioxide at constant volume (at room temperature), let us use the law of equipartition and assume the vibrations to be frozen out at room temperature. The predicted molar for the linear \( \text{(CO}_2 \text{)} \) (with three translational and two rotational degrees of freedom) is \( \frac{5}{2} R \) \((20.8 \text{ JK}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1})\).

The estimated molar for \( \text{(NO}_2 \text{)} \) (a bent molecule, with three translational and three rotational degrees of freedom) is \( 3R \) \((25.0 \text{ JK}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1})\). These estimations are close to the experimental values:

- 30.1 JK\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) for \( \text{(CO}_2 \text{)} \)
- 29.5 JK\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) for \( \text{(NO}_2 \text{)} \)

Especially for \( \text{(CO}_2 \text{)} \), the deviation is significant. This suggests that, although not all vibrational degrees of freedom...
are available, they cannot be totally ignored. The bigger deviation in the prediction of molar heat capacities is probably due to the existence of the lower frequency-bending vibration in carbon dioxide.
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