Epoxide ring-opening reactions - $S_N1$ vs. $S_N2$, regioselectivity, and stereoselectivity

The nonenzymatic ring-opening reactions of epoxides provide a nice overview of many of the concepts we have seen already in this chapter. Ring-opening reactions can proceed by either $S_N2$ or $S_N1$ mechanisms, depending on the nature of the epoxide and on the reaction conditions. If the epoxide is asymmetric, the structure of the product will vary according to which mechanism dominates. When an asymmetric epoxide undergoes solvolysis in basic methanol, ring-opening occurs by an $S_N2$ mechanism, and the less substituted carbon is the site of nucleophilic attack, leading to what we will refer to as product B:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{basic ring-opening:} \\
& \text{H}_3\text{C}\text{H}_3\text{O} \quad \text{H} \quad \text{Na}^+ \otimes \text{OCH}_3 \\
& \text{H}_3\text{C} \quad \text{H} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Conversely, when solvolysis occurs in acidic methanol, the reaction occurs by a mechanism with substantial $S_N1$ character, and the more substituted carbon is the site of attack. As a result, product A predominates.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{acidic ring-opening:} \\
& \text{H}_3\text{C}\text{H}_3\text{O} \quad \text{H} \quad \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \quad \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \\
& \text{H}_3\text{C} \quad \text{H} \\
\end{align*}
\]

These are both good examples of regioselective reactions. In a regioselective reaction, two (or more) different constitutional isomers are possible as products, but one is formed preferentially (or sometimes exclusively).

Let us examine the basic, $S_N2$ case first. The leaving group is an alkoxide anion, because there is no acid available to protonate the oxygen prior to ring opening. An alkoxide is a poor leaving group, and thus the ring is unlikely to open without a 'push' from the nucleophile.

The nucleophile itself is potent: a deprotonated, negatively charged methoxide ion. When a nucleophilic substitution reaction involves a poor leaving group and a powerful nucleophile, it is very likely to proceed by an $S_N2$ mechanism.
What about the electrophile? There are two electrophilic carbons in the epoxide, but the best target for the nucleophile in an SN2 reaction is the carbon that is least hindered. This accounts for the observed regiochemical outcome. Like in other SN2 reactions, nucleophilic attack takes place from the backside, resulting in inversion at the electrophilic carbon.

Probably the best way to depict the acid-catalyzed epoxide ring-opening reaction is as a hybrid, or cross, between an SN2 and SN1 mechanism. First, the oxygen is protonated, creating a good leaving group (step 1 below). Then the carbon-oxygen bond begins to break (step 2) and positive charge begins to build up on the more substituted carbon (recall the discussion from section 8.4B about carbocation stability).

Unlike in an SN1 reaction, the nucleophile attacks the electrophilic carbon (step 3) before a complete carbocation intermediate has a chance to form.

Attack takes place preferentially from the backside (like in an SN2 reaction) because the carbon-oxygen bond is still to some degree in place, and the oxygen blocks attack from the front side. Notice, however, how the regiochemical outcome is different from the base-catalyzed reaction: in the acid-catalyzed process, the nucleophile attacks the more substituted carbon because it is this carbon that holds a greater degree of positive charge.