A complex ion has a metal ion at its center with a number of other molecules or ions surrounding it. These can be considered to be attached to the central ion by coordinate (dative covalent) bonds (although in some cases, the bonding is actually more complicated than that.) The molecules or ions surrounding the central metal ion are called ligands. Simple ligands include water, ammonia and chloride ions.

What all these have got in common is active lone pairs of electrons in the outer energy level. These are used to form co-ordinate bonds with the metal ion. All ligands are lone pair donors. In other words, all ligands function as Lewis bases.

**Bonding in Simple Complex Ions**

We are going to look in detail at the bonding in the complex ion formed when water molecules attach themselves to an aluminum ion to give Al(H₂O)₆³⁺. Start by thinking about the structure of a naked aluminum ion before the water molecules bond to it.

Example \( \text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{3+} \)

Aluminum has the electronic structure

\[ 1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^1 \]

When it forms an Al³⁺ ion it loses the 3-level electrons to leave

\[ 1s^22s^22p^6 \]

That means that all the 3-level orbitals are now empty. The aluminum uses of six of these to accept lone pairs from six water molecules. It re-organizes (hybridizes) the 3s, the three 3p, and two of the 3d orbitals to produce six new orbitals all with the same energy.

You might wonder why it chooses to use six orbitals rather than four or eight or whatever. Six is the maximum number of water molecules it is possible to fit around an aluminum ion (and most other metal ions). By making the maximum number of bonds, it releases most energy and so becomes most energetically stable.
Only one lone pair is shown on each water molecule. The other lone pair is pointing away from the aluminum and so isn't involved in the bonding. The resulting ion looks like this:

![Diagram of an ion with water molecules and aluminum]

Because of the movement of electrons towards the center of the ion, the 3+ charge is no longer located entirely on the aluminum, but is now spread over the whole of the ion. Because the aluminum is forming 6 bonds, the coordination number of the aluminum is said to be 6. The coordination number of a complex ion counts the number of coordinate bonds being formed by the metal ion at its center.

In a simple case like this, that obviously also counts the number of ligands - but that is not necessarily so, as you will see later. Some ligands can form more than one coordinate bond with the metal ion.

Example 

```
Fe(H_2O)_6^{3+}
```

is chosen because it is very similar to the last one - except that it involves a transition metal.

Example: \( \text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_{6}^{3+} \)

Iron has the electronic structure

\[
1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^63d^64s^2
\]

When it forms an Fe\(^{3+}\) ion it loses the 4s electrons and one of the 3d electrons to leave

\[
1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^63d^5
\]

Looking at this as electrons-in-boxes, at the bonding level:

```
Fe

3d   4s

Fe^{3+}

3d   4s
```

The single electrons in the 3d level are NOT involved in the bonding in any way. Instead, the ion uses 6 orbitals from the 4s, 4p and 4d levels to accept lone pairs from the water molecules. Before they are used, the orbitals are re-organized...
(hybridized) to produce 6 orbitals of equal energy.

Once the co-ordinate bonds have been formed, the ion looks exactly the same as the equivalent aluminum ion.

Because the iron is forming 6 bonds, the co-ordination number of the iron is 6.

Example $\PageIndex{3}$ demonstrates the formation of a complex ion with a negative charge.

Example $\PageIndex{3}$: $\text{CuCl}_4^{2-}$

Copper has the electronic structure

$$1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^63d^{10}4s^1$$

When it forms a Cu$^{2+}$ ion it loses the 4s electron and one of the 3d electrons to leave

$$1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^63d^9$$

To bond the four chloride ions as ligands, the empty 4s and 4p orbitals are used (in a hybridised form) to accept a lone pair of electrons from each chloride ion. Because chloride ions are bigger than water molecules, you can't fit 6 of them around the central ion - that's why you only use 4.
Only one of the 4 lone pairs on each chloride ion is shown. The other three are pointing away from the copper ion, and aren't involved in the bonding. That gives you the complex ion:

The ion carries 2 negative charges overall. That comes from a combination of the 2 positive charges on the copper ion and the 4 negative charges from the 4 chloride ions. In this case, the co-ordination number of the copper is 4.

Complex metal ions containing more complicated ligands

In the examples we've already looked at, each ligand only forms one bond with the central metal ion to give the complex ion. Such a ligand is said to be unidentate. That means literally that it only has one tooth! It only has one pair of electrons that it can use to bond to the metal - any other lone pairs are pointing in the wrong direction. Some ligands, however, have rather more teeth! These are known generally as multidentate or polydentate ligands, but can be broken down into a number of different types.

Bidentate ligands

Bidentate ligands have two lone pairs, both of which can bond to the central metal ion. The two commonly used examples are 1,2-diaminoethane (old name: ethylenediamine - often given the abbreviation "en"), and the ethanedioate ion (old name: oxalate).
In the ethanedioate ion, there are lots more lone pairs than the two shown, but these are the only ones we are interested in. You can think of these bidentate ligands rather as if they were a pair of headphones, carrying lone pairs on each of the "ear pieces". These will then fit snuggly around a metal ion.

Example \(\text{Ni} (\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2)_3^{2+}\)

You might find this abbreviated to \([\text{Ni(en)}_3]^{2+}\).

The structure of the ion looks like this:

In this case, the "ear pieces" are the nitrogen atoms of the NH\(_2\) groups - and the "bit that goes over your head" is the -CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)- group. If you were going to draw this in an exam, you would obviously want to draw it properly - but for learning purposes, drawing all the atoms makes the diagram look unduly complicated!

Notice that the arrangement of the bonds around the central metal ion is exactly the same as it was with the ions with 6 water molecules attached. The only difference is that this time each ligand uses up two of the positions - at right angles to each other. Because the nickel is forming 6 co-ordinate bonds, the coordination number of this ion is 6, despite the fact that it is only joined to 3 ligands. Coordination number counts the **number of bonds**, not the number of ligands.
Example \(\text{Cr(C}_2\text{O}_4)_3^{3-}\)

This is the complex ion formed by attaching 3 ethanedioate (oxalate) ions to a chromium(III) ion. The shape is exactly the same as the previous nickel complex. The only real difference is the number of charges. The original chromium ion carried 3+ charges, and each ethanedioate ion carried 2-. \((3^+) + (3 \times 2^-) = 3^-\).

The structure of the ion looks like this:

Again, if you drew this in an exam, you would want to show all the atoms properly. If you need to be able to do this, practice drawing it so that it looks clear and tidy! Refer back to the diagram of the ethanedioate ion further up the page to help you.

**A Quadridentate Ligand**

A quadridentate ligand has four lone pairs, all of which can bond to the central metal ion. An example of this occurs in haemoglobin (American: hemoglobin). The functional part of this is an iron(II) ion surrounded by a complicated molecule called heme. This is a sort of hollow ring of carbon and hydrogen atoms, at the center of which are 4 nitrogen atoms with lone pairs on them. Heme is one of a group of similar compounds called porphyrins. They all have the same sort of ring system, but with different groups attached to the outside of the ring. You aren't going to need to know the exact structure of the haem at this level.

We could simplify the heme with the trapped iron ion as:

Each of the lone pairs on the nitrogen can form a co-ordinate bond with the iron(II) ion - holding it at the center of the complicated ring of atoms. The iron forms 4 co-ordinate bonds with the haem, but still has space to form two more - one above and one below the plane of the ring. The protein globin attaches to one of these positions using a lone pair on one
of the nitrogens in one of its amino acids. The interesting bit is the other position.

![Diagram of globin and Fe^II](image)

Overall, the complex ion has a co-ordination number of 6 because the central metal ion is forming 6 co-ordinate bonds. The water molecule which is bonded to the bottom position in the diagram is easily replaced by an oxygen molecule (again via a lone pair on one of the oxygens in O\(_2\)) - and this is how oxygen gets carried around the blood by the haemoglobin. When the oxygen gets to where it is needed, it breaks away from the haemoglobin which returns to the lungs to get some more.

You probably know that carbon monoxide is poisonous because it reacts with haemoglobin. It bonds to the same site that would otherwise be used by the oxygen - but it forms a very stable complex. The carbon monoxide doesn't break away again, and that makes that haemoglobin molecule useless for any further oxygen transfer.

**A Hexadentate Ligand**

A hexadentate ligand has 6 lone pairs of electrons - all of which can form co-ordinate bonds with the same metal ion. The best example is EDTA, which is used as a negative ion - EDTA\(^{4-}\). The diagram shows the structure of the ion with the important atoms and lone pairs picked out.

![Diagram of EDTA ion](image)

The EDTA ion entirely wraps up a metal ion using all 6 of the positions that we have seen before. The co-ordination number is again 6 because of the 6 co-ordinate bonds being formed by the central metal ion. The diagram below shows this happening with a copper(II) ion. Here is a simplified version. Make sure that you can see how this relates to the full
The overall charge, of course, comes from the $2^+$ on the original copper(II) ion and the $4^-$ on the EDTA$^{4-}$ ion.
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