This page describes what a C-13 NMR spectrum is and how it tells you useful things about the carbon atoms in organic molecules.

**Carbon-13 Nuclei as Little Magnets**

About 1% of all carbon atoms are the C-13 isotope; the rest (apart from tiny amounts of the radioactive C-14) is C-12. C-13 NMR relies on the magnetic properties of the C-13 nuclei. Carbon-13 nuclei fall into a class known as "spin ½" nuclei for reasons which do not really need to concern us at the introductory level this page is aimed at (UK A level and its equivalents). The effect of this is that a C-13 nucleus can behave as a little magnet. C-12 nuclei do not have this property.

If you have a compass needle, it normally lines up with the Earth's magnetic field with the north-seeking end pointing north. Provided it is not sealed in some sort of container, you could twist the needle around with your fingers so that it pointed south - lining it up opposed to the Earth's magnetic field. It is very unstable opposed to the Earth's field, and as soon as you let it go again, it will flip back to its more stable state.

Because a C-13 nucleus behaves like a little magnet, it means that it can also be aligned with an external magnetic field or opposed to it. Again, the alignment where it is opposed to the field is less stable (at a higher energy). It is possible to make it flip from the more stable alignment to the less stable one by supplying exactly the right amount of energy.

The energy needed to make this flip depends on the strength of the external magnetic field used, but is usually in the range of energies found in radio waves - at frequencies of about 25 - 100 MHz. If you have also looked at proton-NMR, the frequency is about a quarter of that used to flip a hydrogen nucleus for a given magnetic field strength.
It's possible to detect this interaction between the radio waves of just the right frequency and the carbon-13 nucleus as it flips from one orientation to the other as a peak on a graph. This flipping of the carbon-13 nucleus from one magnetic alignment to the other by the radio waves is known as the resonance condition.

**The Importance of the Carbon's Environment**

What we've said so far would apply to an isolated carbon-13 nucleus, but real carbon atoms in real bonds have other things around them - especially electrons. The effect of the electrons is to cut down the size of the external magnetic field felt by the carbon-13 nucleus.
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These electrons cut down the effect of the external magnetic field around the carbon-13 nucleus.

Suppose you were using a radio frequency of 25 MHz, and you adjusted the size of the magnetic field so that an isolated carbon-13 atom was in the resonance condition. If you replaced the isolated carbon with the more realistic case of it being surrounded by bonding electrons, it wouldn't be feeling the full effect of the external field any more and so would stop resonating (flipping from one magnetic alignment to the other). The resonance condition depends on having exactly the right combination of external magnetic field and radio frequency.

How would you bring it back into the resonance condition again? You would have to increase the external magnetic field slightly to compensate for the shielding effect of the electrons. Now suppose that you attached the carbon to something more electronegative. The electrons in the bond would be further away from the carbon nucleus, and so would have less of a lowering effect on the magnetic field around the carbon nucleus.
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These electrons are further away from the carbon-13 nucleus and so don't affect the magnetic field around it so much.

Electronegativity is a measure of the ability of an atom to attract a bonding pair of electrons. If you are not happy about electronegativity, you could follow this link at some point in the future, but it probably is not worth doing it now!

The external magnetic field needed to bring the carbon into resonance will be smaller if it is attached to a more electronegative element, because the C-13 nucleus feels more of the field. Even small differences in the electronegativities of the attached atoms will make a difference to the magnetic field needed to achieve resonance.
Summary

For a given radio frequency (say, 25 MHz) each carbon-13 atom will need a slightly different magnetic field applied to it to bring it into the resonance condition depending on what exactly it is attached to - in other words the magnetic field needed is a useful guide to the carbon atom's environment in the molecule.

The C-13 NMR Spectrum for Ethanol

This is a simple example of a C-13 NMR spectrum. do not worry about the scale for now - we'll look at that in a minute.

The C-13 NMR Spectrum for a more complicated compound

This is the C-13 NMR spectrum for 1-methylethyl propanoate (also known as isopropyl propanoate or isopropyl propionate).
This time there are 5 lines in the spectrum. That means that there must be 5 different environments for the carbon atoms in the compound. Is that reasonable from the structure?

\[
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Well - if you count the carbon atoms, there are 6 of them. So why only 5 lines? In this case, two of the carbons are in exactly the same environment. They are attached to exactly the same things. Look at the two \(\text{CH}_3\) groups on the right-hand side of the molecule.

You might reasonably ask why the carbon in the \(\text{CH}_3\) on the left is not also in the same environment. Just like the ones on the right, the carbon is attached to 3 hydrogens and another carbon. But the similarity is not exact - you have to chase the similarity along the rest of the molecule as well to be sure.

The carbon in the left-hand \(\text{CH}_3\) group is attached to a carbon atom which in turn is attached to a carbon with two oxygens on it - and so on down the molecule. That's not exactly the same environment as the carbons in the right-hand \(\text{CH}_3\) groups. They are attached to a carbon which is attached to a single oxygen - and so on down the molecule. We'll look at this spectrum again in detail on the next page - and look at some more similar examples as well. This all gets easier the more examples you look at.

For now, all you need to realize is that each line in a C-13 NMR spectrum recognizes a carbon atom in one particular environment in the compound. If two (or more) carbon atoms in a compound have exactly the same environment, they will be represented by a single line.

If you are fairly wide-awake, you might wonder why all this works, since only about 1% of carbon atoms are C-13. These are the only ones picked up by this form of NMR. If you had a single molecule of ethanol, then the chances are only about 1 in 50 of there being one C-13 atom in it, and only about 1 in 10,000 of both being C-13.

But you have got to remember that you will be working with a sample containing huge numbers of molecules. The instrument can pick up the magnetic effect of the C-13 nuclei in the carbon of the \(\text{CH}_3\) group and the carbon of the \(\text{CH}_2\) group even if they are in separate molecules. There's no need for them to be in the same one.
The Need for a Standard: TMS

Before we can explain what the horizontal scale means, we need to explain the fact that it has a zero point - at the right-hand end of the scale. The zero is where you would find a peak due to the carbon-13 atoms in tetramethylsilane (TMS). Everything else is compared with this.
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You will find that some NMR spectra show the peak due to TMS (at zero), and others leave it out. Essentially, if you have to analyse a spectrum which has a peak at zero, you can ignore it because that’s the TMS peak. TMS is chosen as the standard for several reasons. The most important are:

- It has 4 carbon atoms all of which are in exactly the same environment. They are joined to exactly the same things in exactly the same way. That produces a single peak, but it’s also a strong peak (because there are lots of carbon atoms all doing the same thing).
- The electrons in the C-Si bonds are closer to the carbons in this compound than in almost any other one. That means that these carbon nuclei are the most shielded from the external magnetic field, and so you would have to increase the magnetic field by the greatest amount to bring the carbons back into resonance.
- The net effect of this is that TMS produces a peak on the spectrum at the extreme right-hand side. Almost everything else produces peaks to the left of it.

The Chemical Shift

The horizontal scale is shown as $\delta$ (ppm). $\delta$ is called the chemical shift and is measured in parts per million - ppm. A peak at a chemical shift of, say, 60 means that the carbon atoms which caused that peak need a magnetic field 60 millionths less than the field needed by TMS to produce resonance. A peak at a chemical shift of 60 is said to be downfield of TMS. The further to the left a peak is, the more downfield it is.

If you are familiar with proton-NMR, you will notice that the chemical shifts for C-13 NMR are much bigger than for proton-NMR. In C-13 NMR, they range up to about 200 ppm. In proton-NMR they only go up to about 12 ppm. You do not need to worry about the reasons for this at this level.

Solvents for NMR Spectroscopy

NMR spectra are usually measured using solutions of the substance being investigated. A commonly used solvent is CDCl$_3$. This is a trichloromethane (chloroform) molecule in which the hydrogen has been replaced by its isotope, deuterium. CDCl$_3$ is also commonly used as the solvent in proton-NMR because it does not have any ordinary hydrogen nuclei (protons) which would give a line in a proton-NMR spectrum. It does, of course, have a carbon atom - so why doesn’t it give a potentially confusing line in a C-13 NMR spectrum? In fact it does give a line, but the line has an easily
recognisable chemical shift and so can be removed from the final spectrum. All of the spectra from the SDBS have this line removed to avoid any confusion.
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