In gas chromatography (GC) we inject the sample, which may be a gas or a liquid, into an gaseous mobile phase (often called the carrier gas). The mobile phase carries the sample through a packed or a capillary column that separates the sample’s components based on their ability to partition between the mobile phase and the stationary phase. Figure \(\PageIndex{1}\) shows an example of a typical gas chromatograph, which consists of several key components: a supply of compressed gas for the mobile phase; a heated injector, which rapidly volatilizes the components in a liquid sample; a column, which is placed within an oven whose temperature we can control during the separation; and a detector to monitor the eluent as it comes off the column. Let’s consider each of these components.

**Figure \(\PageIndex{1}\).** Example of a typical gas chromatograph with insets showing the heated injection ports—note the symbol indicating that it is hot—and the oven that houses the column. This particular instrument is equipped with an autosampler for injecting samples, a capillary column, and a mass spectrometer (MS) as the detector. Note that the carrier gas is supplied by a tank of compressed gas.

### Mobile Phase

The most common mobile phases for gas chromatography are He, Ar, and N\(_2\), which have the advantage of being chemically inert toward both the sample and the stationary phase. The choice of carrier gas often is determined by the needs of instrument’s detector. For a packed column the mobile phase velocity usually is 25–150 mL/min. The typical flow rate for a capillary column is 1–25 mL/min.

### Chromatographic Columns

There are two broad classes of chromatographic columns: packed columns and capillary columns. In general, a packed column can handle larger samples and a capillary column can separate more complex mixtures.

#### Packed Columns

*Packed columns* are constructed from glass, stainless steel, copper, or aluminum, and typically are 2–6 m in length with internal diameters of 2–4 mm. The column is filled with a particulate solid support, with particle diameters ranging from 37–44 μm to 250–354 μm. Figure \(\PageIndex{2}\) shows a typical example of a packed column.
The most widely used particulate support is diatomaceous earth, which is composed of the silica skeletons of diatoms. These particles are very porous, with surface areas ranging from 0.5–7.5 m\(^2\)/g, which provides ample contact between the mobile phase and the stationary phase. When hydrolyzed, the surface of a diatomaceous earth contains silanol groups (–SiOH), that serve as active sites for absorbing solute molecules in gas-solid chromatography (GSC).

In gas-liquid chromatography (GLC), we coat the packing material with a liquid mobile phase. To prevent uncoated packing material from adsorbing solutes, which degrades the quality of the separation, surface silanols are deactivated by reacting them with dimethyldichlorosilane and rinsing with an alcohol—typically methanol—before coating the particles with stationary phase.

\[
\frac{n_{c}}{n_{o}} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{3600}}{4} \ln (50) \approx 60
\]

Capillary Columns

A capillary, or open tubular column is constructed from fused silica and is coated with a protective polymer coating. Columns range from 15–100 m in length with an internal diameter of approximately 150–300 μm. Figure shows an example of a typical capillary column.
Figure \(\PageIndex{3}\). Typical example of a capillary column for gas chromatography. This column is 30 m long with an internal diameter of 247 μm. The interior surface of the capillary has a 0.25 μm coating of the liquid phase.

Capillary columns are of three principal types. In a wall-coated open tubular column (WCOT) a thin layer of stationary phase, typically 0.25 nm thick, is coated on the capillary’s inner wall. In a porous-layer open tubular column (PLOT), a porous solid support—alumina, silica gel, and molecular sieves are typical examples—is attached to the capillary’s inner wall. A support-coated open tubular column (SCOT) is a PLOT column that includes a liquid stationary phase. Figure \(\PageIndex{4}\) shows the differences between these types of capillary columns.

![Capillary Column Types](image)

Capillary columns provide a significant improvement in separation efficiency because it has more theoretical plates per meter and is longer than a packed column. For example, the capillary column in Figure \(\PageIndex{3}\) has almost 4300 plates/m, or a total of 129,000 theoretical plates. If we assume a \(V_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{min}} \approx 50\), then it has a peak capacity of approximately 350. On the other hand, a packed column can handle a larger sample. Because of its smaller diameter, a capillary column requires a smaller sample, typically less than 10^{-2} μL.

**Stationary Phases for Gas-Liquid Chromatography**

Elution order in gas–liquid chromatography depends on two factors: the boiling point of the solutes, and the interaction between the solutes and the stationary phase. If a mixture’s components have significantly different boiling points, then the choice of stationary phase is less critical. If two solutes have similar boiling points, then a separation is possible only if the stationary phase selectively interacts with one of the solutes. As a general rule, nonpolar solutes are separated more easily when using a nonpolar stationary phase, and polar solutes are easier to separate when using a polar
stationary phase.

There are several important criteria for choosing a stationary phase: it must not react with the solutes, it must be thermally stable, it must have a low volatility, and it must have a polarity that is appropriate for the sample’s components. Table 1 summarizes the properties of several popular stationary phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>stationary phase</th>
<th>polarity</th>
<th>trade name</th>
<th>temperature limit (°C)</th>
<th>representative applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>squalane</td>
<td>nonpolar</td>
<td>Squalane</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>low-boiling aliphatics hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apezion L</td>
<td>nonpolar</td>
<td>Apezion L</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>amides, fatty acid methyl esters, terpenoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polydimethyl siloxane</td>
<td>slightly polar</td>
<td>SE-30</td>
<td>300–350</td>
<td>alkaloids, amino acid derivatives, drugs, pesticides, phenols, steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenylmethyl polysiloxane (50% phenyl, 50% methyl)</td>
<td>moderately polar</td>
<td>OV-17</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>alkaloids, drugs, pesticides, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trifluoropropylmethyl polysiloxane (50% trifluoropropyl, 50% methyl)</td>
<td>moderately polar</td>
<td>OV-210</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>alkaloids, amino acid derivatives, drugs, halogenated compounds, ketones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyanopropylphenylmethyl polysiloxane (50%cyanopropyl, 50% phenylmethyl)</td>
<td>polar</td>
<td>OV-225</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>nitriles, pesticides, steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyethylene glycol</td>
<td>polar</td>
<td>Carbowax 20M</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>aldehydes, esters, ethers, phenols</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many stationary phases have the general structure shown in Figure 1. A stationary phase of polydimethyl siloxane, in which all the –R groups are methyl groups, –CH₃, is nonpolar and often makes a good first choice for a new separation. The order of elution when using polydimethyl siloxane usually follows the boiling points of the solutes, with lower boiling solutes eluting first. Replacing some of the methyl groups with other substituents increases the stationary phase’s polarity and provides greater selectivity. For example, replacing 50% of the –CH₃ groups with phenyl groups, –C₆H₅, produces a slightly polar stationary phase. Increasing polarity is provided by
substituting trifluoropropyl, \(-\ce{C_3H_6CF}\), and cyanopropyl, \(-\ce{C_3H_6CN}\), functional groups, or by using a stationary phase of polyethylene glycol (Figure \(\PageIndex{5}\)b).

(a)

(b)

\[
\text{HO} - \ce{CH_2-CH_2-(O-CH_2-CH_2)_n-OH}
\]

Figure \(\PageIndex{5}\). General structures of common stationary phases: (a) substituted polysiloxane; (b) polyethylene glycol.

An important problem with all liquid stationary phases is their tendency to elute, or bleed from the column when it is heated. The temperature limits in Table \(\PageIndex{1}\) minimize this loss of stationary phase. Capillary columns with bonded or cross-linked stationary phases provide superior stability. A bonded stationary phase is attached chemically to the capillary’s silica surface. Cross-linking, which is done after the stationary phase is in the capillary column, links together separate polymer chains to provide greater stability.

Another important consideration is the thickness of the stationary phase. From equation 12.3.7 we know that separation efficiency improves with thinner films of stationary phase. The most common thickness is 0.25 μm, although a thicker film is useful for highly volatile solutes, such as gases, because it has a greater capacity for retaining such solutes. Thinner films are used when separating low volatility solutes, such as steroids.

A few stationary phases take advantage of chemical selectivity. The most notable are stationary phases that contain chiral functional groups, which are used to separate enantiomers [Hinshaw, J. V. \textit{LC . GC} 1993, 11, 644–648].

---

**Sample Introduction**

Three factors determine how we introduce a sample to the gas chromatograph. First, all of the sample’s constituents must be volatile. Second, the analytes must be present at an appropriate concentration. Finally, the physical process of injecting the sample must not degrade the separation. Each of these needs is considered in this section.

**Preparing a Volatile Sample**

Not every sample can be injected directly into a gas chromatograph. To move through the column, the sample’s constituents must be sufficiently volatile. A solute of low volatility, for example, may be retained by the column and continue to elute during the analysis of subsequent samples. A nonvolatile solute will condense at the top of the column, degrading the column’s performance.

We can separate a sample’s volatile analytes from its nonvolatile components using any of the extraction techniques...
described in Chapter 7. A liquid–liquid extraction of analytes from an aqueous matrix into methylene chloride or another organic solvent is a common choice. Solid-phase extractions also are used to remove a sample’s nonvolatile components.

An attractive approach to isolating analytes is a **solid-phase microextraction** (SPME). In one approach, which is illustrated in Figure 6, a fused-silica fiber is placed inside a syringe needle. The fiber, which is coated with a thin film of an adsorbent material, such as polydimethyl siloxane, is lowered into the sample by depressing a plunger and is exposed to the sample for a predetermined time. After withdrawing the fiber into the needle, it is transferred to the gas chromatograph for analysis.

Two additional methods for isolating volatile analytes are a purge-and-trap and headspace sampling. In a **purge-and-trap**, we bubble an inert gas, such as He or N\(_2\), through the sample, releasing—or purging—the volatile compounds. These compounds are carried by the purge gas through a trap that contains an absorbent material, such as Tenax, where they are retained. Heating the trap and back-flushing with carrier gas transfers the volatile compounds to the gas chromatograph. In **headspace sampling** we place the sample in a closed vial with an overlying air space. After allowing time for the volatile analytes to equilibrate between the sample and the overlying air, we use a syringe to extract a portion of the vapor phase and inject it into the gas chromatograph. Alternatively, we can sample the headspace with an SPME.

Thermal desorption is a useful method for releasing volatile analytes from solids. We place a portion of the solid in a glass-lined, stainless steel tube. After purging with carrier gas to remove any O\(_2\) that might be present, we heat the sample. Volatile analytes are swept from the tube by an inert gas and carried to the GC. Because volatilization is not a rapid process, the volatile analytes often are concentrated at the top of the column by cooling the column inlet below room temperature, a process known as **cryogenic focusing**. Once volatilization is complete, the column inlet is heated rapidly, releasing the analytes to travel through the column.
The reason for removing $O_2$ is to prevent the sample from undergoing an oxidation reaction when it is heated.

To analyze a nonvolatile analyte we must convert it to a volatile form. For example, amino acids are not sufficiently volatile to analyze directly by gas chromatography. Reacting an amino acid, such as valine, with 1-butanol and acetyl chloride produces an esterified amino acid. Subsequent treatment with trifluoroacetic acid gives the amino acid’s volatile N-trifluoroacetyl-$n$-butyl ester derivative.

Adjusting the Analyte’s Concentration

In an analyte’s concentration is too small to give an adequate signal, then we must concentrate the analyte before we inject the sample into the gas chromatograph. A side benefit of many extraction methods is that they often concentrate the analytes. Volatile organic materials isolated from an aqueous sample by a purge-and-trap, for example, are concentrated by as much as $1000 \times$.

If an analyte is too concentrated, it is easy to overload the column, resulting in peak fronting (see Figure 12.2.7) and a poor separation. In addition, the analyte’s concentration may exceed the detector’s linear response. Injecting less sample or diluting the sample with a volatile solvent, such as methylene chloride, are two possible solutions to this problem.

Injecting the Sample

In Chapter 12.3 we examined several explanations for why a solute’s band increases in width as it passes through the column, a process we called band broadening. We also introduce an additional source of band broadening if we fail to inject the sample into the minimum possible volume of mobile phase. There are two principal sources of this precolumn band broadening: injecting the sample into a moving stream of mobile phase and injecting a liquid sample instead of a gaseous sample. The design of a gas chromatograph’s injector helps minimize these problems.

An example of a simple injection port for a packed column is shown in Figure \(\PageIndex{7}\). The top of the column fits within a heated injector block, with carrier gas entering from the bottom. The sample is injected through a rubber septum using a microliter syringe, such as the one shown in Figure \(\PageIndex{8}\). Injecting the sample directly into
the column minimizes band broadening because it mixes the sample with the smallest possible amount of carrier gas. The injector block is heated to a temperature at least 50°C above the boiling point of the least volatile solute, which ensures a rapid vaporization of the sample’s components.

Figure \(\PageIndex{7}\). Schematic diagram of a heated GC injector port for use with packed columns. The needle pierces a rubber septum and enters into the top of the column, which is located within a heater block.

Figure \(\PageIndex{8}\). Example of a syringe for injecting samples into a gas chromatograph. This syringe has a maximum capacity of 10 μL with graduations every 0.1 μL.

Because a capillary column’s volume is significantly smaller than that for a packed column, it requires a different style of injector to avoid overloading the column with sample. Figure \(\PageIndex{9}\) shows a schematic diagram of a typical split/splitless injector for use with a capillary column.
Figure 9. Schematic diagram of a split/splitless injection port for use with capillary columns. The needle pierces a rubber septum and enters into a glass liner, which is located within a heater block. In a split injection the split vent is open; the split vent is closed for a splitless injection.

In a **split injection** we inject the sample through a rubber septum using a microliter syringe. Instead of injecting the sample directly into the column, it is injected into a glass liner where it mixes with the carrier gas. At the split point, a small fraction of the carrier gas and sample enters the capillary column with the remainder exiting through the split vent. By controlling the flow rate of the carrier gas as it enters the injector, and its flow rate through the septum purge and the split vent, we can control the fraction of sample that enters the capillary column, typically 0.1–10%.

For example, if the carrier gas flow rate is 50 mL/min, and the flow rates for the septum purge and the split vent are 2 mL/min and 47 mL/min, respectively, then the flow rate through the column is 1 mL/min (= 50 – 2 – 47). The ratio of sample entering the column is 1/50, or 2%.

In a **splitless injection**, which is useful for trace analysis, we close the split vent and allow all the carrier gas that passes through the glass liner to enter the column—this allows virtually all the sample to enter the column. Because the flow rate through the injector is low, significant precolumn band broadening is a problem. Holding the column’s temperature approximately 20–25°C below the solvent’s boiling point allows the solvent to condense at the entry to the capillary column, forming a barrier that traps the solutes. After allowing the solutes to concentrate, the column’s temperature is increased and the separation begins.

For samples that decompose easily, an **on-column injection** may be necessary. In this method the sample is injected directly into the column without heating. The column temperature is then increased, volatilizing the sample with as low a temperature as is practical.
Temperature Control

Control of the column’s temperature is critical to attaining a good separation when using gas chromatography. For this reason the column is placed inside a thermostated oven (see Figure 1). In an isothermal separation we maintain the column at a constant temperature. To increase the interaction between the solutes and the stationary phase, the temperature usually is set slightly below that of the lowest-boiling solute.

One difficulty with an isothermal separation is that a temperature that favors the separation of a low-boiling solute may lead to an unacceptably long retention time for a higher-boiling solute. Temperature programming provides a solution to this problem. At the beginning of the analysis we set the column’s initial temperature below that for the lowest-boiling solute. As the separation progresses, we slowly increase the temperature at either a uniform rate or in a series of steps.

Detectors for Gas Chromatography

The final part of a gas chromatograph is the detector. The ideal detector has several desirable features: a low detection limit, a linear response over a wide range of solute concentrations (which makes quantitative work easier), sensitivity for all solutes or selectivity for a specific class of solutes, and an insensitivity to a change in flow rate or temperature.

Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD)

One of the earliest gas chromatography detectors takes advantage of the mobile phase’s thermal conductivity. As the mobile phase exits the column it passes over a tungsten-rhenium wire filament (see Figure 10). The filament’s electrical resistance depends on its temperature, which, in turn, depends on the thermal conductivity of the mobile phase. Because of its high thermal conductivity, helium is the mobile phase of choice when using a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

Thermal conductivity, as the name suggests, is a measure of how easily a substance conducts heat. A gas with a high thermal conductivity moves heat away from the filament—and, thus, cools the filament—more quickly than does a gas with a low thermal conductivity.

When a solute elutes from the column, the thermal conductivity of the mobile phase in the TCD cell decreases and the temperature of the wire filament, and thus its resistance, increases. A reference cell, through which only the mobile phase passes, corrects for any time-dependent variations in flow rate, pressure, or electrical power, all of which affect the
Because all solutes affect the mobile phase’s thermal conductivity, the thermal conductivity detector is a universal detector. Another advantage is the TCD’s linear response over a concentration range spanning $10^4$–$10^5$ orders of magnitude. The detector also is non-destructive, which allows us to recover analytes using a postdetector cold trap. One significant disadvantage of the TCD detector is its poor detection limit for most analytes.

### Flame Ionization Detector (FID)

The combustion of an organic compound in an H₂/air flame results in a flame that contains electrons and organic cations, presumably CHO⁺. Applying a potential of approximately 300 volts across the flame creates a small current of roughly $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-12}$ amps. When amplified, this current provides a useful analytical signal. This is the basis of the popular flame ionization detector, a schematic diagram of which is shown in Figure 11.

![Figure 11](PageIndex{11}). Schematic diagram of a flame ionization detector. The eluent from the column mixes with H₂ and is burned in the presence of excess air. Combustion produces a flame that contains electrons and the cation CHO⁺. Applying a potential between the flame’s tip and the collector gives a current that is proportional to the concentration of cations in the flame.

Most carbon atoms—except those in carbonyl and carboxylic groups—generate a signal, which makes the FID an almost universal detector for organic compounds. Most inorganic compounds and many gases, such as H₂O and CO₂, are not detected, which makes the FID detector a useful detector for the analysis of organic analytes in atmospheric and aqueous environmental samples. Advantages of the FID include a detection limit that is approximately two to three orders of magnitude smaller than that for a thermal conductivity detector, and a linear response over $10^6$–$10^7$ orders of magnitude in the amount of analyte injected. The sample, of course, is destroyed when using a flame ionization detector.
**Electron Capture Detector (ECD)**

The electron capture detector is an example of a selective detector. As shown in Figure \(\PageIndex{12}\), the detector consists of a \((\beta)\)-emitter, such as \(^{63}\text{Ni}\). The emitted electrons ionize the mobile phase, usually \(\text{N}_2\), generating a standing current between a pair of electrodes. When a solute with a high affinity for capturing electrons elutes from the column, the current decreases, which serves as the signal. The ECD is highly selective toward solutes with electronegative functional groups, such as halogens and nitro groups, and is relatively insensitive to amines, alcohols, and hydrocarbons. Although its detection limit is excellent, its linear range extends over only about two orders of magnitude.

A \((\beta)\)-particle is an electron.

**Mass Spectrometer (MS)**

A mass spectrometer is an instrument that ionizes a gaseous molecule using sufficient energy that the resulting ion breaks apart into smaller ions. Because these ions have different mass-to-charge ratios, it is possible to separate them using a magnetic field or an electrical field. The resulting mass spectrum contains both quantitative and qualitative information about the analyte. Figure \(\PageIndex{13}\) shows a mass spectrum for toluene.

Figure \(\PageIndex{13}\). Mass spectrum for toluene highlighting the molecular ion in green \((m/z=92)\), and two fragment ions in blue \((m/z=91)\) and in red \((m/z= 65)\). A mass spectrum provides both quantitative and qualitative information; the height of any peak is proportional to the amount of toluene in the mass spectrometer and the fragmentation pattern is unique to toluene.

Figure \(\PageIndex{14}\) shows a block diagram of a typical gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC–MS) instrument. The effluent from the column enters the mass spectrometer’s ion source in a manner that eliminates the
majority of the carrier gas. In the ionization chamber the remaining molecules—a mixture of carrier gas, solvent, and solutes—undergo ionization and fragmentation. The mass spectrometer’s mass analyzer separates the ions by their mass-to-charge ratio and a detector counts the ions and displays the mass spectrum.

**Figure 14.** Block diagram of GC–MS. A three component mixture enters the GC. When component A elutes from the column, it enters the MS ion source and ionizes to form the parent ion and several fragment ions. The ions enter the mass analyzer, which separates them by their mass-to-charge ratio, providing the mass spectrum shown at the detector.

There are several options for monitoring a chromatogram when using a mass spectrometer as the detector. The most common method is to continuously scan the entire mass spectrum and report the total signal for all ions that reach the detector during each scan. This total ion scan provides universal detection for all analytes. We can achieve some degree of selectivity by monitoring one or more specific mass-to-charge ratios, a process called selective-ion monitoring. A mass spectrometer provides excellent detection limits, typically 25 fg to 100 pg, with a linear range of $10^5$ orders of magnitude. Because we continuously record the mass spectrum of the column’s eluent, we can go back and examine the mass spectrum for any time increment. This is a distinct advantage for GC–MS because we can use the mass
spectrum to help identify a mixture’s components.

For more details on mass spectrometry see Introduction to Mass Spectrometry by Michael Samide and Olujide Akinbo, a resource that is part of the Analytical Sciences Digital Library.

**Other Detectors**

Two additional detectors are similar in design to a flame ionization detector. In the flame photometric detector, optical emission from phosphorous and sulfur provides a detector selective for compounds that contain these elements. The thermionic detector responds to compounds that contain nitrogen or phosphorous.

A Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer (FT–IR) also can serve as a detector. In GC–FT–IR, effluent from the column flows through an optical cell constructed from a 10–40 cm Pyrex tube with an internal diameter of 1–3 mm. The cell’s interior surface is coated with a reflecting layer of gold. Multiple reflections of the source radiation as it is transmitted through the cell increase the optical path length through the sample. As is the case with GC–MS, an FT–IR detector continuously records the column eluent’s spectrum, which allows us to examine the IR spectrum for any time increment.

See Section 10.3 for a discussion of FT-IR spectroscopy and instrumentation.

**Quantitative Applications**

Gas chromatography is widely used for the analysis of a diverse array of samples in environmental, clinical, pharmaceutical, biochemical, forensic, food science and petrochemical laboratories. Table \(\PageIndex{2}\) provides some representative examples of applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>area</th>
<th>applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>environmental analysis</td>
<td>green house gases (CO(_2), CH(_4), NO(_x)) in air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pesticides in water, wastewater, and soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vehicle emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trihalomethanes in drinking water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clinical analysis</td>
<td>drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>blood alcohols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forensic analysis</td>
<td>analysis of arson accelerants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area</td>
<td>applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>detection of explosives</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=detection%20of%20explosives" alt="application" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volatile organics in spices and fragrances</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=volatile%20organics%20in%20spices%20and%20fragrances" alt="application" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trace organics in whiskey</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=trace%20organics%20in%20whiskey" alt="application" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monomers in latex paint</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=monomers%20in%20latex%20paint" alt="application" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purity of solvents</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=purity%20of%20solvents" alt="application" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>refinery gas</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=refinery%20gas" alt="application" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>composition of gasoline</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=composition%20of%20gasoline" alt="application" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quantitative Calculations**

In a GC analysis the area under the peak is proportional to the amount of analyte injected onto the column. A peak’s area is determined by integration, which usually is handled by the instrument’s computer or by an electronic integrating recorder. If two peak are resolved fully, the determination of their respective areas is straightforward.

Before electronic integrating recorders and computers, two methods were used to find the area under a curve. One method used a manual planimeter; as you use the planimeter to trace an object’s perimeter, it records the area. A second approach for finding a peak’s area is the cut-and-weigh method. The chromatogram is recorded on a piece of paper and each peak of interest is cut out and weighed. Assuming the paper is uniform in thickness and density of fibers, the ratio of weights for two peaks is the same as the ratio of areas. Of course, this approach destroys your chromatogram.

Overlapping peaks, however, require a choice between one of several options for dividing up the area shared by the two peaks (Figure ![Figure](https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=Figure))]. Which method we use depends on the relative size of the two peaks and their resolution. In some cases, the use of peak heights provides more accurate results [(a) Bicking, M. K. L. Chromatography Online, April 2006; (b) Bicking, M. K. L. Chromatography Online, June 2006].
Figure \(\PageIndex{15}\). Four methods for determining the areas under two overlapping chromatographic peaks: (a) the drop method; (b) the valley method; (c) the exponential skim method; and (d) the Gaussian skim method. Other methods for determining areas also are available.

For quantitative work we need to establish a calibration curve that relates the detector’s response to the analyte’s concentration. If the injection volume is identical for every standard and sample, then an external standardization provides both accurate and precise results. Unfortunately, even under the best conditions the relative precision for replicate injections may differ by 5%; often it is substantially worse. For quantitative work that requires high accuracy and precision, the use of internal standards is recommended.

To review the method of internal standards, see Chapter 5.3.

Example \(\PageIndex{1}\)

Marriott and Carpenter report the following data for five replicate injections of a mixture that contains 1% v/v methyl isobutyl ketone and 1% v/v \(p\)-xylene in dichloromethane [Marriott, P. J.; Carpenter, P. D. J. Chem. Educ. 1996, 73, 96–99].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>injection peak</th>
<th>peak area (arb. units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I 1</td>
<td>48075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 2</td>
<td>78112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II 1</td>
<td>85829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II 2</td>
<td>135404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 1</td>
<td>84136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 2</td>
<td>132332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assume that $p$-xylene (peak 2) is the analyte, and that methyl isobutyl ketone (peak 1) is the internal standard. Determine the 95% confidence interval for a single-point standardization with and without using the internal standard.

**Solution**

For a single-point external standardization we ignore the internal standard and determine the relationship between the peak area for $p$-xylene, $A_2$, and the concentration, $C_2$, of $p$-xylene.

\[ A_2 = k C_2 \nonumber \]

Substituting the known concentration for $p$-xylene (1% v/v) and the appropriate peak areas, gives the following values for the constant $k$.

\[ 78112 \quad 135404 \quad 132332 \quad 112889 \quad 91287 \nonumber \]

The average value for $k$ is 110 000 with a standard deviation of 25 100 (a relative standard deviation of 22.8%). The 95% confidence interval is

\[ \mu = \bar{X} \pm \frac{t \sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 111000 \pm \frac{(2.78)(25100)}{\sqrt{5}} = 111000 \pm 31200 \nonumber \]

For an internal standardization, the relationship between the analyte’s peak area, $A_2$, the internal standard’s peak area, $A_1$, and their respective concentrations, $C_2$ and $C_1$, is

\[ \frac{A_2}{A_1} = k \frac{C_2}{C_1} \nonumber \]

Substituting in the known concentrations and the appropriate peak areas gives the following values for the constant $k$.

\[ 1.5917 \quad 1.5776 \quad 1.5728 \quad 1.5749 \quad 1.5724 \nonumber \]

The average value for $k$ is 1.5779 with a standard deviation of 0.0080 (a relative standard deviation of 0.507%). The 95% confidence interval is

\[ \mu = \bar{X} \pm \frac{t \sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 1.5779 \pm \frac{(2.78)(0.0080)}{\sqrt{5}} = 1.5779 \pm 0.0099 \nonumber \]

Although there is a substantial variation in the individual peak areas for this set of replicate injections, the internal standard compensates for these variations, providing a more accurate and precise calibration.
Exercise \(\PageIndex{1}\))

Figure \(\PageIndex{16}\) shows chromatograms for five standards and for one sample. Each standard and sample contains the same concentration of an internal standard, which is 2.50 mg/mL. For the five standards, the concentrations of analyte are 0.20 mg/mL, 0.40 mg/mL, 0.60 mg/mL, 0.80 mg/mL, and 1.00 mg/mL, respectively. Determine the concentration of analyte in the sample by (a) ignoring the internal standards and creating an external standards calibration curve, and by (b) creating an internal standard calibration curve. For each approach, report the analyte’s concentration and the 95% confidence interval. Use peak heights instead of peak areas.

**Answer**

The following table summarizes my measurements of the peak heights for each standard and the sample, and their ratio (although your absolute values for peak heights will differ from mine, depending on the size of your monitor or printout, your relative peak height ratios should be similar to mine).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[standard] (mg/mL)</th>
<th>peak height of standard (mm)</th>
<th>peak height of analyte (mm)</th>
<th>peak height ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure (a) shows the calibration curve and the calibration equation when we ignore the internal standard. Substituting the sample’s peak height into the calibration equation gives the analyte’s concentration in the sample as 0.49 mg/mL. The 95% confidence interval is ±0.24 mg/mL. The calibration curve shows quite a bit of scatter in the data because of uncertainty in the injection volumes.

Figure (b) shows the calibration curve and the calibration equation when we include the internal standard. Substituting the sample’s peak height ratio into the calibration equation gives the analyte’s concentration in the sample as 0.54 mg/mL. The 95% confidence interval is ±0.04 mg/mL.

To review the use of Excel or R for regression calculations and confidence intervals, see Chapter 5.5.
The data for this exercise were created so that the analyte’s actual concentration is 0.55 mg/mL. Given the resolution of my ruler’s scale, my answer is pretty reasonable. Your measurements may be slightly different, but your answers should be close to the actual values.

In addition to a quantitative analysis, we also can use chromatography to identify the components of a mixture. As noted earlier, when using an FT–IR or a mass spectrometer as the detector we have access to the eluent’s full spectrum for any retention time. By interpreting the spectrum or by searching against a library of spectra, we can identify the analyte responsible for each chromatographic peak.

In addition to identifying the component responsible for a particular chromatographic peak, we also can use the saved spectra to evaluate peak purity. If only one component is responsible for a chromatographic peak, then the spectra should be identical throughout the peak’s elution. If a spectrum at the beginning of the peak’s elution is different from a spectrum taken near the end of the peak’s elution, then at least two components are co-eluting.

When using a nonspectroscopic detector, such as a flame ionization detector, we must find another approach if we wish to identify the components of a mixture. One approach is to spike a sample with the suspected compound and look for an increase in peak height. We also can compare a peak’s retention time to the retention time for a known compound if we use identical operating conditions.

Because a compound’s retention times on two identical columns are not likely to be the same—differences in packing efficiency, for example, will affect a solute’s retention time on a packed column—creating a table of standard retention times is not possible. **Kovat’s retention index** provides one solution to the problem of matching retention times. Under isothermal conditions, the adjusted retention times for normal alkanes increase logarithmically. Kovat defined the
retention index, \( I \), for a normal alkane as 100 times the number of carbon atoms. For example, the retention index is 400 for butane, \( C_4H_{10} \), and 500 for pentane, \( C_5H_{12} \). To determine the a compound’s retention index, \( I_{cpd} \), we use the following formula

\[
I_{cpd} = 100 \times \frac{\log t_{r, cpd} - \log t_{r, x}}{\log t_{r, x+1} - \log t_{r, x}} + I_x \label{12.1}
\]

where \( t_{r, cpd} \) is the compound’s adjusted retention time, \( t_{r, x} \) and \( t_{r, x+1} \) are the adjusted retention times for the normal alkanes that elute immediately before the compound and immediately after the compound, respectively, and \( I_x \) is the retention index for the normal alkane that elutes immediately before the compound. A compound’s retention index for a particular set of chromatographic conditions—stationary phase, mobile phase, column type, column length, temperature, etc.—is reasonably consistent from day-to-day and between different columns and instruments.

Tables of Kovat’s retention indices are available; see, for example, the NIST Chemistry Webbook. A search for toluene returns 341 values of \( I \) for over 20 different stationary phases, and for both packed columns and capillary columns.

**Example \( \PageIndex{2} \)**

In a separation of a mixture of hydrocarbons the following adjusted retention times are measured: 2.23 min for propane, 5.71 min for isobutane, and 6.67 min for butane. What is the Kovat’s retention index for each of these hydrocarbons?

**Solution**

Kovat’s retention index for a normal alkane is 100 times the number of carbons; thus, for propane, \( I = 300 \) and for butane, \( I = 400 \). To find Kovat’s retention index for isobutane we use equation \ref{12.1}.

\[
I_{\text{isobutane}} = 100 \times \frac{\log (5.71) - \log (2.23)}{\log (6.67) - \log (2.23)} + 300 = 386 \nonumber
\]

**Exercise \( \PageIndex{2} \)**

When using a column with the same stationary phase as in Example \( \PageIndex{2} \), you find that the retention times for propane and butane are 4.78 min and 6.86 min, respectively. What is the expected retention time for isobutane?

**Answer**

Because we are using the same column we can assume that isobutane’s retention index of 386 remains unchanged. Using equation \ref{12.1}, we have

\[
386 = 100 \times \frac{\log x - \log (4.78)}{\log (6.86) - \log (4.78)} + 300 \nonumber
\]

where \( x \) is the retention time for isobutane. Solving for \( x \), we find that

\[
0.86 = \frac{\log x - \log (4.78)}{\log (6.86) - \log (4.78)} \nonumber
\]

\[
0.135 = \log x - 0.679 \nonumber
\]

\[
0.814 = \log x \nonumber
\]
The retention time for isobutane is 6.5 min.

The best way to appreciate the theoretical and the practical details discussed in this section is to carefully examine a typical analytical method. Although each method is unique, the following description of the determination of trihalomethanes in drinking water provides an instructive example of a typical procedure. The description here is based on a Method 6232B in *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 20th Ed., American Public Health Association: Washington, DC, 1998.

**Representative Method 12.4.1: Determination of Trihalomethanes in Drinking Water**

**Description of Method**

Trihalomethanes, such as chloroform, CHCl$_3$, and bromoform, CHBr$_3$, are found in most chlorinated waters. Because chloroform is a suspected carcinogen, the determination of trihalomethanes in public drinking water supplies is of considerable importance. In this method the trihalomethanes CHCl$_3$, CHBrCl$_2$, CHBr$_2$Cl, and CHBr$_3$ are isolated using a liquid–liquid extraction with pentane and determined using a gas chromatograph equipped with an electron capture detector.

**Procedure**

Collect the sample in a 40-mL glass vial equipped with a screw-cap lined with a TFE-faced septum. Fill the vial until it overflows, ensuring that there are no air bubbles. Add 25 mg of ascorbic acid as a reducing agent to quench the further production of trihalomethanes. Seal the vial and store the sample at 4°C for no longer than 14 days.

Prepare a standard stock solution for each trihalomethane by placing 9.8 mL of methanol in a 10-mL volumetric flask. Let the flask stand for 10 min, or until all surfaces wetted with methanol are dry. Weigh the flask to the nearest ±0.1 mg. Using a 100-μL syringe, add 2 or more drops of trihalomethane to the volumetric flask, allowing each drop to fall directly into the methanol. Reweigh the flask before diluting to volume and mixing. Transfer the solution to a 40-mL glass vial equipped with a TFE-lined screw-top and report the concentration in μg/mL. Store the stock solutions at –10 to –20°C and away from the light.

Prepare a multicomponent working standard from the stock standards by making appropriate dilutions of the stock solution with methanol in a volumetric flask. Choose concentrations so that calibration standards (see below) require no more than 20 μL of working standard per 100 mL of water.

Using the multicomponent working standard, prepare at least three, but preferably 5–7 calibration standards. At least one standard must be near the detection limit and the standards must bracket the expected concentration of trihalomethanes in the samples. Using an appropriate volumetric flask, prepare the standards by injecting at least 10 μL of the working standard below the surface of the water and dilute to volume. Gently mix each standard three times only. Discard the solution in the neck of the volumetric flask and then transfer the remaining solution to a 40-mL glass vial with a TFE-lined screw-top. If the standard has a headspace, it must be analyzed within 1 hr; standards without a headspace may be held for up to 24 hr.
Prepare an internal standard by dissolving 1,2-dibromopentane in hexane. Add a sufficient amount of this solution to pentane to give a final concentration of 30 μg 1,2-dibromopentane/L.

To prepare the calibration standards and samples for analysis, open the screw top vial and remove 5 mL of the solution. Recap the vial and weigh to the nearest ±0.1 mg. Add 2.00 mL of pentane (with the internal standard) to each vial and shake vigorously for 1 min. Allow the two phases to separate for 2 min and then use a glass pipet to transfer at least 1 mL of the pentane (the upper phase) to a 1.8-mL screw top sample vial equipped with a TFE septum, and store at 4°C until you are ready to inject them into the GC. After emptying, rinsing, and drying the sample’s original vial, weigh it to the nearest ±0.1 mg and calculate the sample’s weight to ±0.1 g. If the density is 1.0 g/mL, then the sample’s weight is equivalent to its volume.

Inject a 1–5 μL aliquot of the pentane extracts into a GC equipped with a 2-mm ID, 2-m long glass column packed with a stationary phase of 10% squalane on a packing material of 80/100 mesh Chromosorb WAW. Operate the column at 67°C and a flow rate of 25 mL/min.

A variety of other columns can be used. Another option, for example, is a 30-m fused silica column with an internal diameter of 0.32 mm and a 1 µm coating of the stationary phase DB-1. A linear flow rate of 20 cm/s is used with the following temperature program: hold for 5 min at 35°C; increase to 70°C at 10°C/min; increase to 200°C at 20°C/min.

Questions

1. A simple liquid–liquid extraction rarely extracts 100% of the analyte. How does this method account for incomplete extractions?

   Because we use the same extraction procedure for the samples and the standards, we reasonably expect that the extraction efficiency is the same for all samples and standards; thus, the relative amount of analyte in any two samples or standards is unaffected by an incomplete extraction.

2. Water samples are likely to contain trace amounts of other organic compounds, many of which will extract into pentane along with the trihalomethanes. A short, packed column, such as the one used in this method, generally does not do a particularly good job of resolving chromatographic peaks. Why do we not need to worry about these other compounds?

   An electron capture detector responds only to compounds, such as the trihalomethanes, that have electronegative functional groups. Because an electron capture detector will not respond to most of the potential interfering compounds, the chromatogram will have relatively few peaks other than those for the trihalomethanes and the internal standard.

3. Predict the order in which the four analytes elute from the GC column.

   Retention time should follow the compound’s boiling points, eluting from the lowest boiling point to the highest boiling points. The expected elution order is CHCl_3 (61.2°C), CHCl_2Br (90°C), CHClBr_2 (119°C), and CHBr_3 (149.1°C).

4. Although chloroform is an analyte, it also is an interferent because it is present at trace levels in the air. Any
chloroform present in the laboratory air, for example, may enter the sample by diffusing through the sample vial’s silicon septum. How can we determine whether samples are contaminated in this manner?

A sample blank of trihalomethane-free water is kept with the samples at all times. If the sample blank shows no evidence for chloroform, then we can safely assume that the samples also are free from contamination.

5. Why is it necessary to collect samples without a headspace (a layer of air that overlays the liquid) in the sample vial?

Because trihalomethanes are volatile, the presence of a headspace allows for the loss of analyte from the sample to the headspace, resulting in a negative determinate error.

6. In preparing the stock solution for each trihalomethane, the procedure specifies that we add two or more drops of the pure compound by dropping them into a volumetric flask that contains methanol. When preparing the calibration standards, however, the working standard must be injected below the surface of the methanol. Explain the reason for this difference.

When preparing a stock solution, the potential loss of the volatile trihalomethane is unimportant because we determine its concentration by weight after adding it to the methanol and diluting to volume. When we prepare the calibration standard, however, we must ensure that the addition of trihalomethane is quantitative; thus, we inject it below the surface to avoid the potential loss of analyte.

Evaluation

Scale of Operation

Gas chromatography is used to analyze analytes present at levels ranging from major to ultratrace components. Depending on the detector, samples with major and minor analytes may need to be diluted before analysis. The thermal conductivity and flame ionization detectors can handle larger amounts of analyte; other detectors, such as an electron capture detector or a mass spectrometer, require substantially smaller amounts of analyte. Although the injection volume for gas chromatography is quite small—typically about a microliter—the amount of available sample must be sufficient that the injection is a representative subsample. For a trace analyte, the actual amount of injected analyte is often in the picogram range. Using Representative Method 12.4.1 as an example, a 3.0-μL injection of 1 μg/L CHCl₃ is equivalent to 15 pg of CHCl₃, assuming a 100% extraction efficiency.

Accuracy

The accuracy of a gas chromatographic method varies substantially from sample-to-sample. For routine samples, accuracies of 1–5% are common. For analytes present at very low concentration levels, for samples with complex matrices, or for samples that require significant processing before analysis, accuracy may be substantially poorer. In the analysis for trihalomethanes described in Representative Method 12.4.1, for example, determinate errors as large as ±25% are possible.
Precision

The precision of a gas chromatographic analysis includes contributions from sampling, sample preparation, and the instrument. The relative standard deviation due to the instrument typically is 1–5%, although it can be significantly higher. The principal limitations are detector noise, which affects the determination of peak area, and the reproducibility of injection volumes. In quantitative work, the use of an internal standard compensates for any variability in injection volumes.

Sensitivity

In a gas chromatographic analysis, sensitivity is determined by the detector’s characteristics. Of particular importance for quantitative work is the detector’s linear range; that is, the range of concentrations over which a calibration curve is linear. Detectors with a wide linear range, such as the thermal conductivity detector and the flame ionization detector, can be used to analyze samples over a wide range of concentrations without adjusting operating conditions. Other detectors, such as the electron capture detector, have a much narrower linear range.

Selectivity

Because it combines separation with analysis, chromatographic methods provide excellent selectivity. By adjusting conditions it usually is possible to design a separation so that the analytes elute by themselves, even when the mixture is complex. Additional selectivity is obtained by using a detector, such as the electron capture detector, that does not respond to all compounds.

Time, Cost, and Equipment

Analysis time can vary from several minutes for samples that contain only a few constituents, to more than an hour for more complex samples. Preliminary sample preparation may substantially increase the analysis time. Instrumentation for gas chromatography ranges in price from inexpensive (a few thousand dollars) to expensive (>-$50,000). The more expensive models are designed for capillary columns, include a variety of injection options, and use more sophisticated detectors, such as a mass spectrometer, or include multiple detectors. Packed columns typically cost <$200, and the cost of a capillary column is typically $300–$1000.