Modeling the dependence of the Gibbs and Helmholtz functions behave with varying temperature, pressure, and volume is fundamentally useful. But in order to do that, a little bit more development is necessary. To see the power and utility of these functions, it is useful to combine the First and Second Laws into a single mathematical statement. In order to do that, one notes that since

\[ dS = \frac{dq}{T} \]

for a reversible change, it follows that

\[ dq = TdS \]

And since

\[ dw = TdS - pdV \]

for a reversible expansion in which only p-V works is done, it also follows that (since \(dU=dq+dw\)):

\[ dU = TdS - pdV \]

This is an extraordinarily powerful result. This differential for \(dU\) can be used to simplify the differentials for \(H\), \(A\), and \(G\). But even more useful are the constraints it places on the variables T, S, p, and V due to the mathematics of exact differentials!

**Maxwell Relations**

The above result suggests that the natural variables of internal energy are \(S\) and \(V\) (or the function can be considered as \(U(S, V)\)). So the total differential (\(dU\)) can be expressed:

\[ dU = \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial S} \right)_V dS + \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_S dV \]

Also, by inspection (comparing the two expressions for \(dU\)) it is apparent that:

\[ \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial S} \right)_V = T \quad \text{(eq5A)} \]

and

\[ \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_S = -p \quad \text{(eq5B)} \]

But the value doesn't stop there! Since \(dU\) is an exact differential, the Euler relation must hold that

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial S} \right)_V \right]_S = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_S \right]_V \]

By substituting Equations (eq5A) and (eq5B), we see that:

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial S} \right)_V \right]_S = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_S \right]_V \]
or
\[
\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial V} \right)_S = - \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial S} \right)_V
\]

This is an example of a Maxwell Relation. These are very powerful relationships that allow one to substitute partial derivatives when one is more convenient (perhaps it can be expressed entirely in terms of $\alpha$ and/or $\kappa_T$ for example.)

A similar result can be derived based on the definition of $H$.

\[
H \equiv U + pV
\]

Differentiating (and using the chain rule on $d(pV)$) yields

\[
dH = dU + pdV + Vdp
\]

Making the substitution using the combined first and second laws ($(dU = TdS – pdV)$) for a reversible change involving on expansion (p-V) work

\[
dH = TdS + Vdp \label{eq2A}
\]

This expression can be simplified by canceling the $(pdV)$ terms.

\[
dH = TdS + Vdp \label{eq2B}
\]

Comparing Equations \ref{eq2A} and \ref{eq2B} show that

\[
\left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial S} \right)_p = T \label{eq6A}
\]

and

\[
\left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \right)_S = V \label{eq6B}
\]

It is worth noting at this point that both (Equation \ref{eq5A})
\[
\left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial S} \right)_V
\]

and (Equation \ref{eq6A})
\[
\left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial S} \right)_p
\]

are equation to $(T)$. So they are equation to each other

\[
\left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial S} \right)_V = \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial S} \right)_p
\]
Moreover, the Euler Relation must also hold

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial S} \right)_p \right]_S = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \right)_S \right]_p \]

so

\[ \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \right)_S = \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} \right)_p \]

This is the Maxwell relation on \(H\). Maxwell relations can also be developed based on \(A\) and \(G\). The results of those derivations are summarized in Table 6.2.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Differential</th>
<th>Natural Variables</th>
<th>Maxwell Relation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(U)</td>
<td>(dU = TdS - pdV)</td>
<td>((S, V))</td>
<td>(\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial V} \right)_S = - \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial S} \right)_V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)</td>
<td>(dH = TdS + Vdp)</td>
<td>((S, p))</td>
<td>(\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \right)_S = \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} \right)_p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>(dA = -pdV - SdT)</td>
<td>((V, T))</td>
<td>(\left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V = \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G)</td>
<td>(dG = Vdp - SdT)</td>
<td>((p, T))</td>
<td>(\left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right)_p = - \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial p} \right)_T)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Maxwell relations are extraordinarily useful in deriving the dependence of thermodynamic variables on the state variables of \(p\), \(T\), and \(V\).

Example \(\PageIndex{1}\)

Show that

\[ \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right)_p = T\dfrac{\alpha}{\kappa_T} - p \]

Solution:

Start with the combined first and second laws:

\[dU = TdS - pdV\]

Divide both sides by \(dV\) and constraint to constant \(T\):

\[\left.\frac{dU}{dV}\right|_T = \left.\frac{TdS}{dV}\right|_T - p \left.\frac{dV}{dV}\right|_T\]

Noting that

\[\left.\frac{dU}{dV}\right|_T = \left.\frac{dG}{dV}\right|_T = \left.\frac{dA}{dV}\right|_T\]
\[
\left. \frac{dT}{dV} \right|_T = \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T
\]
\[
\left. \frac{dV}{dV} \right|_T = 1
\]

The result is
\[
\left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_T = T \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T - p
\]

Now, employ the Maxwell relation on \( \left( A \right) \) (Table 6.2.1)
\[
\left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V = \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T
\]
to get
\[
\left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_T = T \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V - p
\]
and since
\[
\left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa_T}
\]
It is apparent that
\[
\left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right)_p = T \frac{\alpha}{\kappa_T} - p
\]

Note: How cool is that? This result was given without proof in Chapter 4, but can now be proven analytically using the Maxwell Relations!
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