Grading Rubric for Project Paper, "Measuring Metal Release Rate of an Arsenopyrite Sample"

Group members:	Section
----------------	---------

Paper Format - Length, section, and format requirements followed - Spelling, punctuation - Writing style Required Content - Molarity of iron vs. time (from spec measurements) - Amount of iron per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) - Molarity of iron in dissolution vessel vs. time (from ICP-AES) - Molarity or amount of arsenic per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) - Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) - Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) - Dissolution rate vs. your chosen experimental parameter - Quality of Discussion: - Makes comparisons to results reviewed in the literature - Conclusions tie directly to results - Next experiment(s) proposed Notebook pages - The notebook reflects clearly the experimental progress during the project periods. Some sample calculations presented; some reflective summary included. Peer Evaluation - Each group member handed in a completed peer evaluation (PE).	Evaluation	Salient Features	Maximum Points	Points Assigned
Molarity of iron vs. time (from spec measurements) Amount of iron per unit surface area vs. time (from spec measurements) Molarity of iron in dissolution vessel vs. time (from ICP-AES) Amount of iron per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of arsenic per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of arsenic per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area vs. time (from ICP-AES) To Molarity or amount of area v	Paper Format	requirements followed Spelling, punctuationWriting style		
Makes comparisons to results reviewed in the literature Conclusions tie directly to results Next experiment(s) proposed Notebook pages The notebook reflects clearly the experimental progress during the project periods. Some sample calculations presented; some reflective summary included. Peer Evaluation Each group member handed in a completed peer evaluation (PE). Missing PE names:	Required Content	 Molarity of iron vs. time (from spec measurements) Amount of iron per unit surface area vs. time (from spec measurements) Molarity of iron in dissolution vessel vs. time (from ICP-AES) Amount of iron per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of arsenic per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Molarity or amount of sulfur per unit surface area vs. time (from ICP-AES) Dissolution rate vs. your chosen experimental parameter 		
experimental progress during the project periods. Some sample calculations presented; some reflective summary included. Peer Evaluation Each group member handed in a completed peer evaluation (PE). Missing PE names:		 Makes comparisons to results reviewed in the literature Conclusions tie directly to results 		
completed peer evaluation (PE).	7 0	experimental progress during the project periods. Some sample calculations presented; some		
Total 35	Peer Evaluation		2	Missing PE names:
	Total	35		

General Comments: